# STATE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH CARE SERVICES MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES DIVISION PROGRAM OVERSIGHT AND COMPLIANCE

# ANNUAL REVIEW PROTOCOL FOR CONSOLIDATED SPECIALTY MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES AND OTHER FUNDED SERVICES

FISCAL YEAR (FY) 2015-2016

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

| ENFORCEMENT A                | PAGES   | i     |         |  |
|------------------------------|---|-------|---------|--|
| LIST OF ABBREV               | PAGES   | ii    |         |  |
| MENTAL HEALTH                | MENTAL HEALTH PLAN ATTESTATION  |       |         |  |
| SECTION A                    | ACCESS  | PAGES | 4-25    |  |
| SECTION B                    | AUTHORIZATION   | PAGES | 26-41   |  |
| SECTION C                    | BENEFICIARY PROTECTION  | PAGES | 42-50   |  |
| SECTION D                    | FUNDING, REPORTING AND CONTRACTING REQUIREMENTS (***QUESTIONS MOVED TO ATTESTATION) | PAGES | 51      |  |
| SECTION E                    | E NETWORK ADEQUACY AND ARRAY OF SERVICES  |       |         |  |
| SECTION F                    | PAGES   | 60-63 |         |  |
| SECTION G PROVIDER RELATIONS |   | PAGES | 64-68   |  |
| SECTION H                    | PROGRAM INTEGRITY   | PAGES | 69-79   |  |
| SECTION I                    | QUALITY IMPROVEMENT   | PAGES | 79-91   |  |
| SECTION J                    | MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES ACT  | PAGES | 92-100  |  |
| SECTION K                    | CHART REVIEW—NON-HOSPITAL SERVICES  | PAGES | 101-121 |  |
| SECTION L                    | CHART REVIEW—SD/MC HOSPITAL SERVICES  | PAGES | 122-127 |  |
| SECTION M                    | UTILIZATION REVIEW—SD/MC HOSPITAL SERVICES  | PAGES | 128-134 |  |

#### ENFORCEMENT AND CONSEQUENCES FOR NON-COMPLIANCE/TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE AND TRAINING

In accordance with Welfare and Institutions Code (WIC) Section 5614 this serves to notify the County Mental Health Plan (MHP) pursuant to California Code of Regulations (CCR), Title 9, Chapter 11, Sections 1810.325, 1810.380(b), 1810.385, and WIC Section 14712(e), that if the Department determines that an MHP is out of compliance with State or Federal laws and regulations or the terms of the contract between the MHP and the Department, the Department may take any or all of the following actions:

- (1) Require that the MHP develop a plan of correction. The plan of correction should include the following information:
  - a. Description of corrective actions, including milestones
  - b. Timeline for implementation and/or completion of corrective actions
  - c. Proposed (or actual) evidence of correction that will be submitted to DHCS
- (2) Withhold all or a portion of payments due to the MHP from the Department.
- (3) Impose civil penalties pursuant to Section 1810.385.
- (4) Terminate the contract with the MHP pursuant to Section 1810.323.
- (5) Take other actions deemed necessary to encourage and ensure contract and regulatory compliance.

If the Department determines that an action should be taken pursuant to Subsection (b), the Department shall provide the MHP with a written Notice of Noncompliance. The Notice of Noncompliance shall include:

- (1) A description of the violation
- (2) A description of any corrective action required by the Department and time limits for compliance.
- (3) A description of any and all proposed actions by the Department under this Section or Sections 1810.385 or 1810.323, and any related appeal rights.

#### The MHP may appeal, in writing:

- 1. A proposed contract termination to the Department within 15 working days after the date of receipt of the notice of termination, setting forth relevant facts and arguments. The Department must grant or deny the appeal within 30 calendar days after receipt of the appeal. In granting an appeal, the Department may take another action available under section 1810.380(b). The Department's election to take another action must not be appealable to the Department. Except for terminations pursuant to section 1810.325(c), the Department must suspend the termination date until the Department has acted on the MHP's appeal.
- 2. A Notice of Non-Compliance to the Department within 15 working days after the date of receipt of the notice of termination, setting forth relevant facts and arguments. The Department must grant or deny the appeal in whole or in part within 30 calendar days after receipt of the appeal. The Department must suspend any proposed action until the Department has acted on the MHP's appeal.

#### **LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS**

| 24/7  | 24 HOURS A DAY/SEVEN DAYS A WEEK                       | MOE     | MAINTENANCE OF EFFORT  |
|-------|--|---------|--|
| APP   | AID PAID PENDING                                       | MOU     | MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING                                    |
| ccc   | CULTURAL COMPETENCE COMMITTEE                          | N       | NON-COMPLIANCE, FINDING OF                                     |
| CCPR  | CULTURAL COMPETENCE PLAN REQUIREMENTS                  | NOA     | NOTICE OF ACTION   |
| CCR   | CALIFORNIA CODE OF REGULATIONS                         | NPPES   | NATIONAL PLAN AND PROVIDER ENUMERATION SYSTEM                  |
| CFR   | CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS                            | Р       | PARTIAL COMPLIANCE   |
| CMS   | CENTERS FOR MEDICARE AND MEDICAID SERVICES             | P&Ps    | POLICIES AND PROCEDURES  |
| CPPP  | COMMUNITY PROGRAM PLANNING PROCESS                     | PCP     | PRIMARY CARE PHYSICIAN   |
| DHCS  | DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH CARE SERVICES                     | PHI     | PROTECTED HEALTH INFORMATION                                   |
| DMH   | [FORMER] DEPARTMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH (STATE)           | PIP     | PERFORMANCE IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS                               |
| EPSDT | EARLY AND PERIODIC SCREENING, DIAGNOSIS, AND TREATMENT | PLW     | PROFESSIONAL LICENSING WAIVER                                  |
| EPLS  | EXCLUDED PARTIES LIST SYSTEM                           | POA     | POINT OF AUTHORIZATION   |
| FY    | FISCAL YEAR  | PSC     | PERSONAL SERVICES COORDINATOR                                  |
| IMD   | INSTITUTION FOR MENTAL DISEASES                        | QI/QM   | QUALITY IMPROVEMENT/ QUALITY MANAGEMENT                        |
| IP    | IMPLEMENTATION PLAN                                    | QIC     | QUALITY IMPROVEMENT COMMITTEE                                  |
| ITWS  | INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY WEB SERVICES                    | RCL     | RATE CLASSIFICATION LEVEL                                      |
| LEP   | LIMITED ENGLISH PROFICIENT                             | SD/MC   | SHORT-DOYLE/MEDI-CAL   |
| LPHA  | LICENSED PRACTITIONER OF THE HEALING ARTS              | SMHS    | SPECIALTY MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES                               |
| LPT   | LICENSED PSYCHIATRIC TECHNICIAN                        | SNF     | SKILLED NURSING FACILITY                                       |
| LVN   | LICENSED VOCATIONAL NURSE                              | STP     | SPECIALIZED TREATMENT PROGRAM                                  |
| MC    | MEDI-CAL   | TAR     | TREATMENT AUTHORIZATION REQUEST                                |
| MCE   | MEDICAL CARE EVALUATION                                | TBS     | THERAPEUTIC BEHAVIORAL SERVICES                                |
| MCMCP | MEDI-CAL MANAGED CARE PLAN                             | TDD/TTY | TELECOMMUNICATION DEVICE FOR THE DEAF/ TEXT TELEPHONE/TELETYPE |
| MHP   | MENTAL HEALTH PLAN                                     | UM/UR   | UTILIZATION MANAGEMENT/ UTILIZATION REVIEW                     |
| MHRC  | MENTAL HEALTH REHABILITATION CENTER                    | URC     | UTILIZATION REVIEW COMMITTEE                                   |
| MHS   | MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES                                 | WIC     | WELFARE AND INSTITUTIONS CODE                                  |
| MHSA  | MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES ACT                             | Υ       | YES - IN-COMPLIANCE  |

# **COUNTY MENTAL HEALTH PLAN ATTESTATION**

| Secti | ion A: Access  |
|-------|--|
| 1.    | The MHP must ensure that it makes a good faith effort to give affected beneficiaries written notice of termination of a contracted provider within 15 days after receipt or issuance of the termination notice to each enrollee who received his or her primary care from, or was seen on a regular basis by, the terminated provider. Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), title 42, section 438.10(f)(5).  |
| 2.    | The MHP must have written policies regarding beneficiary rights. CFR, title 42, section 438.100(a),(b) and (d); DMH Letter No. 04-05.  |
| 3.    | The MHP must ensure that it complies with cultural competence and linguistic requirements, including the development and implementation of a cultural competence plan. CCR, title 9, chapter 11, section 1810.410. Department of Mental Health (DMH) Information Notice 10-02, Enclosure, Criterion 7, Section III, C, Page 22, Criterion 7, Section IV, A, Pages 18 & 19, and DMH Information Notice No. 10-17, Enclosure, Criterion 7, Section III, C, Page 17, Criterion 7, Section IV, A, Page 18, and Criterion 5, Section II, Page 14. Title VI, Civil Rights Act of 1964 (U.S. Code 42, section 2000d; CFR, title 45, Part 80).   |
| 4.    | The MHP must maintain written policies and procedures that meet the requirements for advance directives. CFR, title 42, sections 422.128, 438.6(i)(2), 438.6(i)(l)(3) and (4), and 489.100.  |
| 5.    | The MHP must maintain written policies and procedures to ensure beneficiaries are not discriminated against based on whether or not the beneficiary has executed an advance directive. CFR, title 42, sections 438.6(i)(1),(2),(3); 422.128(b)(1)(ii)(F) and 417.436 (d)(iv).  |
| 6.    | The MHP must maintain written policies and procedures that provides for the education of staff concerning its policies and procedures (P&Ps) on advance directives. CFR, title 42, sections 438.6(i); 422.128(b)(1)(ii)(H) and 417.436(d)(1)(vi).  |
| 7.    | When the MHP is involved in the placement, the MHP must provide the DHCS issued Medi-Cal Services for Children and Young Adults: Early & Periodic Screening, Diagnosis & Treatment (EPSDT) brochure, which includes information about accessing Therapeutic Behavioral Services (TBS) to Medi-Cal (MC) beneficiaries under 21 years of age and their representative in the following circumstances: At the time of admission to a Skilled Nursing Facility (SNF) with a Specialized Treatment Program (STP) for the mentally disordered; at the time of admission to a Mental Health Rehabilitation Center (MHRC) that has been designated as an Institution for Mental Diseases; at the time of placement in a Rate Classification Level (RCL) 13-14 foster care group home; and at the time of placement in an RCL 12 foster care group home when the MHP is involved in the placement. <i>CCR</i> , title 9, chapter 11, section 1810.310 (a)(1; DMH Letter No. 01-07, DMH Letter No. 04-04; DMH Letter No. 04-11; DMH Information Notice No. 08-38; MHP Contract, Exhibit A, Attachment I. |

(Rev. 09/09/2015) Page 1 FY 2015-2016 Protocol

#### COUNTY MENTAL HEALTH PLAN ATTESTATION

#### **Section B: Authorization** 8. The MHP must ensure its compliance with requirements regarding authorization, documentation, provision and reimbursement of services when a child is in a foster care, KinGAP or Aid Adoptive Parents (AAP) aid code and residing outside his or her county of origin. The MHP must ensure that it complies with the timelines when processing or submitting authorization requests for children in a foster care, AAP, or KinGAP aid code living outside his or her county of origin. CCR, title 9, chapter 11, section 1830.220(b)(3) and (b)(4)(A); WIC sections, 11376, 16125, 14716; 14717 and 14718; DMH Information Notice No. 09-06, DMH Information Notice No. 97-06 and DMH Information Notice No. 08-24. The MHP must ensure access for foster care children outside its county of adjudication and ensure that it complies with the use 9. of standardized contract, authorization procedure, documentation standards and forms issued by DMH, unless exempted by DHCS. CCR, title 9, chapter 11, sections 1810.220.5, 1830.220 (b)(3), and b(4)(A), DMH Information Notices No. 09-06, Page 2, No. 08-24 and No. 97-06, D, 4, WIC sections 14716, 14717, 11376, 14684, and 16125. **Section C: Beneficiary Protection** The MHP must ensure that its grievance, appeal and expedited appeal processes contain the requirements in CCR, title 9, 10. chapter 11, and CFR, title 42 regulations. CFR, title 42, sections 438,402 and 438,406; CCR, title 9, chapter 11, sections 1850.205, 1850.206, 1850.207, and 1850.208. 11. The MHP must ensure that staff making decisions on grievance, appeal, and expedited appeals have the appropriate clinical expertise to treat the beneficiary's condition. CFR, title 42, section 438.406(a)(3)(ii), and CCR, title 9, chapter 11, section 1850.205(c)(9). 12. The MHP must ensure that when it denies a request for expedited appeal resolution, it will make reasonable efforts to give the beneficiary and his or her representative prompt oral notice of the denial of the request for an expedited appeal and provide written notice within two calendar days of the date of the denial. The written notice of the denial of the request for an expedited appeal is not a Notice of Action (NOA) as defined in CCR, title 9, chapter 11, section 1810.230.5. CFR, title 42, section 438.408(d)(2)(ii), and CCR, title 9, chapter 11, section 1850.208(f)(2). 13. The MHP must ensure that it posts notices explaining grievance, appeal, and expedited appeal process procedures in locations at all MHP provider sites sufficient to ensure that the information is readily available to both beneficiaries and provider staff. CCR, title 9, chapter 11, section 1850.205(c)(1)(B). The MHP must ensure that forms that may be used to file grievances, appeals and expedited appeals, and self-addressed 14. envelopes are available for beneficiaries to pick up at all MHP provider sites without having to make a verbal or written request to anyone. CCR, title 9, chapter 11, section 1850.205(c)(1)(C).

(Rev. 09/09/2015) Page 2 FY 2015-2016 Protocol

# **COUNTY MENTAL HEALTH PLAN ATTESTATION**

| 15.     | The MHP must ensure that individuals making decisions on grievances and appeals were not involved in any previous level of review or decision-making. CFR, title 42, section 438.406(a)(3)(i).   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Section | Section D: Funding, Reporting, and Contracting Requirements  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16.     | The MHP must ensure that it contracts with disproportionate share and traditional hospitals when the hospital meets selection criteria unless the MHP has obtained an exemption. CCR, title 9, chapter 11, section 1810.430(a)(b) and (c).   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17.     | The MHP must ensure that the Fee-for-Service/Medi-Cal contract hospital rates negotiated by the MHP are submitted annually. CCR, title 9, chapter 11, section 1810.375(c), and WIC, section 5614 (b)(4).   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18.     | The MHP must ensure that adult and children performance outcome system data is reported. WIC, section 5610; County Performance Contract.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19.     | The MHP must deposit its local matching funds per the schedule developed by the Department. If the county elects not to apply Maintenance of Effort funds, the MHP must be in compliance with WIC, section 17608.05(c) prohibiting the county from using the loss of these funds for realignment purposes. WIC, Section 17608.05   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20.     | The MHP may not decrease the proportion of its funding expended for children's services below the proportion expended in the 1983-1984 fiscal year unless a determination has been made by the governing body in a noticed public hearing that the need for new or expanded services to persons under age 18 has significantly decreased. WIC, Section 5704.5  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21.     | The MHP must allocate (for services to persons under age 18) 50% of any new funding received for new or expanded mental health programs until the amount expended for mental health services to persons under age 18 equals not less than 25% of the county's gross budget for mental health or not less than the percentage of persons under age 18 in the total county population, whichever percentage is less. WIC, Section 5704.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Section | on G: Provider Relations   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22.     | The MHP must have written policies and procedures for selection, retention, credentialing and re-credentialing of providers; the provider selection policies and procedures must not discriminate against particular providers that serve high-risk populations or specialize in conditions that require costly treatment. CFR, title 42, section 438.214(a)-(e).  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23.     | The MHP must ensure that it oversees and is accountable for any functions and responsibilities that it delegates to any subcontractor and before any delegation evaluates the prospective subcontractor's ability to perform the activities to be delegated. CFR, title 42, section 438.230(a).  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24.     | The MHP must ensure that it provides the information specified in CFR, title 42, section 438.10(g)(1) about the grievance system to all providers and subcontractors at the time they enter into a contract. CFR, title 42, section 438.414.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |

(Rev. 09/09/2015) Page 3 FY 2015-2016 Protocol

|   | CRITERIA   | FINE  | DING<br>N                  | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS   |
|---|--|---|----------------------------|---|
| 1.<br>1a.<br>1b.  | Regarding the beneficiary booklet:  Does the Mental Health Plan (MHP) provide beneficiaries with a booklet upon request and when first receiving a Specialty Mental Health Service (SMHS)?  Is the beneficiary booklet available in English and in the MHPs identified threshold language(s)?  | •   |                            | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  Beneficiary Booklet  Policies and Procedures # Client Intake Packet  Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team  GUIDANCE: Review evidence that a booklet is issued upon first receiving an SMHS and upon request. Prior to onsite, verify the MHP's threshold language(s) per the DHCS RASD Medi-Cal Statistical Brief (May 2014). Check availability of culturally and linguistically appropriate written information in threshold languages in the beneficiary booklet. Confirm the MHP's threshold language(s): |
| <ul><li>CC</li><li>CA</li><li>CF</li><li>CC</li><li>DA</li><li>DA</li></ul> | FR, title 42, section 438.10 CR, title 9, chapter 11, section 1810.360(d) MS/DHCS section 1915(b) Waiver FR, title 42, section 438.10(c)(2),(3) CR, title 9, chapter 11, section 1810.410(c)(3) MH Information Notice No. 10-17, Enclosure, Page 18 MH Information Notice No. 10-02, Enclosure, Page 23 HCS MHSD Information Notice No. 13-09, dated 4/30/2013 Regarding the provider list:  Does the MHP provide beneficiaries with a | <ul><li>No</li><li>SI</li><li>Ev</li><li>Be</li></ul> | o evide<br>MHS.<br>vidence | OMPLIANCE: ence that the MHP is providing a booklet to beneficiaries upon first receiving a e reviewed indicates the MHP does not provide a booklet upon request. erry booklet is not available in English and, when applicable, in the threshold e(s).  SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  Provider List  |
|   | current provider list upon request and when first receiving a SMHS?  |   |                            | Policies and Procedures #   |

|     | CRITERIA  | FINE | DING<br>N | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS  |
|-----|---|------|-----------|--|
| 2b. | Is the provider list available in English and in the MHPs identified threshold language(s)?                           |      |           | <ul> <li>□ Client Intake Packet</li> <li>□ Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team</li> <li>■ GUIDANCE:</li> <li>• Review evidence that a provider list is issued upon first receiving an SMHS and upon request.</li> <li>• Prior to onsite, verify the MHP's threshold language(s) per the DHCS MHSD Information Notice No. 13-09, dated 4/30/2013.</li> <li>• When reviewing larger counties, a regionalized provider list is acceptable.</li> <li>• The provider list should include organizational, group, and individual providers including county owned and operated providers. Small counties may only have county owned and/or operated providers.</li> <li>• Provider list should include child/youth and adult/older providers.</li> </ul> |
| 2c. | Regarding the provider list, does it contain the following:  1. Names of Providers?  2. Locations?                    |      |           | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  Provider List  Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team  |
|     | 3. Telephone numbers?   |      |           | <ul> <li>GUIDANCE:</li> <li>At a minimum, the services are to be categorized by psychiatric</li> </ul>   |
|     | Alternatives and options for linguistic services including non-English languages (including ASL) spoken by providers? |      |           | <ul> <li>inpatient hospital, targeted case management, and/or all other SMHS.</li> <li>The provider list should be current and accurately reflect providers accepting new Medi-Cal beneficiaries.</li> </ul>   |
|     | 5. Does the list show providers by category?  |      |           | MHP may denote providers accepting new beneficiaries by adding a footnote instructing beneficiaries to contact providers.  |

|   | CRITERIA  | FINI<br>Y | DING<br>N                               | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS   |
|---|---|-----------|---|---|
|   | 6. Alternatives and options for cultural services?  |           |   | <ul> <li>Alternatives and options for culturally appropriate services may<br/>include services for transition-age youth, veterans, older adults,<br/>Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, and Transgender or Questioning</li> </ul>  |
|   | 7. A means to inform beneficiaries of providers that are not accepting new beneficiaries?   |           |   | (LGBTQ), etc.   |
| • | CFR, title 42, section 438.10(f)(6)(i)and 438.206(a) CCR, title 9, chapter 11, section 1810.410 DMH Information Notice No. 10-02, Enclosure, Page 24 and DMH Information Notice No. 10-17, Enclosure, Page 18 CMS/DHCS, section 1915(b) Waiver MHP Contract Exhibit A, Attachment I | OUT       | No recolor land The English The The The | evidence that the MHP is providing a provider list to beneficiaries upon first seiving a SMHS and upon request ovider list is not available in English and, when applicable, in the threshold guage(s).  The provider list does not contain the names, locations, telephone numbers and nonglish languages spoken by contracted providers.  The provider list does not contain cultural/linguistic alternatives and options.  The provider list does not contain minimum required categories.  The provider list does not contain minimum required categories.  The provider does not include a means to identify providers who are not accepting with beneficiaries. |

|    | CRITERIA  | FINDI<br>Y | ING<br>N | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS  |
|----|---|------------|----------|--|
| 3. | Is there evidence that the MHP is making efforts to include culture-specific providers and services in the range of programs offered? |            |          | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  □ Policies and Procedures # □ Provider list □ Program Descriptions □ Provider contracts with provisions for cultural services □ Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team  GUIDANCE:  • Does the MHP have evidence of mechanisms in place to track progress for the inclusion of culture-specific providers and services in the range of programs offered?  • Refer to MHP's Cultural Competence Plan Requirements (CCPR) for the definition of ethnic, racial, culture-specific specialties.  • Review evidence that the county/contractor has available, as appropriate, alternatives and options that accommodate individual preference.  • Review evidence that the county/contractor provides culture-specific programs or referrals to community-based, culturally-appropriate, and non-traditional mental health providers.  • Look for ethnic and cultural specific providers. The county may also include evidence of efforts to include additional culture-specific community providers and services in the range of programs offered by the county (e.g., older adults, veterans, and lesbian, gay, bisexual and transgender, etc.). |

| CRITERIA   | FINDING Y N | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS  |
|--|-------------|--|
| <ul> <li>CFR, title 42, section 438.206(c)(2)</li> <li>CCR, title 9, chapter 11, sections 1810.110(a) and 1810.410</li> <li>CCR, tile 9, section 3320(a)(2)</li> <li>DMH Information Notice No. 10-02, Enclosure, Page 24 and DMH Information Notice No. 10-17, Enclosure, Page 20</li> <li>CMS/DHCS section 1915(b) Waiver</li> <li>MHP Contract, Exhibit A, Attachment I</li> <li>Whenever feasible and at the request of the</li> </ul> |             |  |
| 4. Whenever feasible and at the request of the beneficiary, does the MHP provide an opportunity to change persons providing the SMHS, including the right to use culture-specific providers?   |             | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  □ Policies and Procedures # □ Beneficiary booklet □ Change of Provider request form □ Change of Provider Logs □ Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team  GUIDANCE:  • Ask the MHP to describe the processes for changing providers. • Ask the MHP for the policy that describes the process. • Ask the MHP how these requests are tracked. • Review the requests/outcomes. Ask the MHP to show you examples of such a request being made, including initial request and the documented outcome. |

|            | CRITERIA   | FINDING<br>Y N   | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS   |
|------------|--|--|---|
| •          | CCR, title 9, chapter 11, sections 1810.310(4)(A) and 1830.225(a),(b) CCR, title 9, section 3320(a)(2) CFR, title 42, section 438.6(m) DMH Information Notice No. 10-02, Enclosure, Page 24 and DMH Information Notice No. 10-17, Enclosure, Page 20 MHP Contract, Exhibit E | No evi   | COMPLIANCE: dence that the MHP provides an opportunity to change persons providing including the right to use culture-specific providers.   |
| 5.         | Regarding written materials:   |  | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  |
| 5a.<br>5b. | Does the MHP have written informing materials in alternative formats in English and the threshold language(s)?  1) Does the MHP inform beneficiaries that  |  | <ul> <li>□ Policies and Procedures #</li> <li>□ Written informing materials in threshold languages in alternative formats</li> <li>□ Beneficiary booklet</li> </ul>               |
|            | information is available in alternative formats?   |  | U Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team  |
|            | Does the MHP inform beneficiaries how to access alternative formats?   |  | GUIDANCE:  Written materials apply to informing materials (e.g. beneficiary booklet, general program literature, forms, etc.).  |
| 5c.        | Do these written materials take into consideration persons with limited vision?  |  | Review evidence of the alternative formats available. How does the MHP inform beneficiaries that information is available in alternative formats and how to access those formats? |
| 5d.        | Do these written materials take into consideration persons with limited reading proficiency (i.e., 6 <sup>th</sup> grade reading level)?   | Are the alternative formats available in the threshold late (e.g., large print, audio versions, or braille)? | Are the alternative formats available in the threshold language(s) (e.g., large print, audio versions, or braille)?   |

|   |   | FINDING |                            | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS  |
|---|---|---------|----------------------------|--|
| 5e.   | SURVEY ONLY:  Does the MHP have a mechanism for ensuring accuracy of translated materials in terms of both language and culture (e.g., back translation and/or culturally appropriate field testing)? |         |                            | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  Policies and Procedures # Contracts with vendors for translated materials Samples of translated materials tested for accuracy Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team  GUIDANCE: What mechanisms does the MHP have in place to ensure accuracy? |
| <ul> <li>CFR, title 42, section 438.10(d)(i),(ii)</li> <li>CCR, title 9, chapter 11, sections 1810.110(a) and 1810.410(e)(4)</li> <li>CFR, title 42, section 438.10(d)(2)</li> <li>MHP Contract, Exhibit A, Attachment I</li> </ul> |   | • In la | formin<br>nguag<br>here is | OMPLIANCE:  g materials and additional written materials in English and the threshold e(s) are not made available in alternative formats. no evidence the MHP is informing beneficiaries that information is available in ve formats and how to access those formats.              |
| 6.<br>6a.   | Review evidence that Limited English Proficient (LEP) individuals are informed of the following in a language they understand:  LEP individuals have a right to free language assistance services.    |         |                            | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  Policies and Procedures # Beneficiary booklet Samples of signs and posters Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team  |

|     | CRITERIA  | FINI<br>Y | DING<br>N | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS   |
|-----|---|-----------|-----------|---|
| 6b. | LEP individuals are informed how to access free language assistance services. |           |           | <ul> <li>CCR, title 9, chapter 11, section 1810.410, requires that there be policies and procedures to assist beneficiaries who need oral interpreter services in languages other than threshold languages to access the SMHS or related services available through "Key points of contact."</li> <li>Interpreter services mean oral and sign language.</li> <li>Review the MHP's policies and procedures.</li> <li>Review the MHP's Cultural Competence Plan.</li> <li>CFR, title 42, section 438.10 (c)(4) and (5) requires MHPs to make oral interpretation services available and make these services available free of charge to each potential beneficiary and beneficiary. This applies to all non-English languages, not just those that the State identifies as threshold languages.</li> <li>Review evidence that beneficiaries are informed in writing in English and other languages of their rights to language assistance services, including posting of this right.</li> </ul> |

|     | CRITERIA   | FINDIN<br>Y I | IG<br>N | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS  |
|-----|--|---------------|---------|--|
| 6c. | Does the MHP have a mechanism to ensure that interpreter services are offered to LEP individuals?  |               |         | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  □ Policies and Procedures # □ Beneficiary booklet □ Intake packet or client acknowledgement form □ Utilization Review documentation of interpreter services offered to clients □ Contracts with vendors for Interpreter services □ Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team  GUIDANCE: • Review evidence the MHP has policies and procedures to assist beneficiaries who need oral interpreter services in languages other than threshold languages to access SMHS or related services through key points of contact. • Interpreter services mean oral and sign language. • Ask the MHP how it informs all individuals of the availability of language assistance services clearly and in their preferred language, verbally and in writing (CCPR, Criterion 7). |
| 6d. | Does the MHP have policies, procedures, and practices that comply with the following requirements of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973:  1) Prohibiting the expectation that family members provide interpreter services? |               |         | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  Policies and Procedures #  Beneficiary booklet  Posted signs and/or notices  Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team  |

|     | CRITERIA   | FINE                  | DING<br>N          | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS  |
|-----|--|-----------------------|--------------------|--|
|     | A client may choose to use a family member or friend as an interpreter after being informed of the availability of free interpreter services?  |                       |                    | Review MHP policies and procedures regarding language access and interpreter services.      If under rare circumstances a family member and/or child is  |
|     | Minor children should not be used as interpreters?   |                       |                    | used as an interpreter (e.g., monolingual parent will not communicate using MHP interpreter), the MHP's policies and procedures should require that the reason/justification is well documented. |
| 3   | FR, title 42, section 438.10 (c)(4), 438.6(f)(1), 438.100(d), FR, title 28, Part 35, 35.160(b)(1), CFR, title 28, Part 36, 6.303(c) CR, title 9, chapter 11, section 1810.410(a)-(e) | • 1                   | No evic<br>service | DMPLIANCE: lence that LEP individuals are informed of the right to free language assistance s. lence that LEP individuals are informed how to access free language assistance                    |
| • D | MH Information Notice No. 10-02, Enclosure, age 22, and DMH Information Notice No. 10-17, Enclosure, age 17  | services.  • No docui |                    | 9 9  |
| • N | itle VI, Civil Rights Act of 1964 (U.S. Code 42,<br>ection 2000d; CFR, title 45, Part 80)<br>IHP Contract, Exhibit A, Attachment I<br>MS/DHCS, section 1915(b) waiver                |                       |                    |  |

|     | CRITERIA   | FINI<br>Y | DING<br>N | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS  |
|-----|--|-----------|-----------|--|
| 7.  | Regarding outreach efforts for the purpose of providing information to beneficiaries and providers regarding access under the MHP: |           |           | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  Policies and Procedures #  Evidence of community information and education plans   |
| 7a. | Is there evidence of community information and education plans or policies that enable Medi-Cal beneficiaries to access SMHS?      |           |           | <ul> <li>☐ Calendar of community events</li> <li>☐ Fliers, outreach posters, sign-in sheets from community events</li> <li>☐ Cultural Competence Plan</li> <li>☐ Implementation Plan</li> <li>☐ Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team</li> <li>☐ GUIDANCE:</li> <li>Ask the MHP to describe its outreach efforts to inform all Medi-</li> </ul> |
|     |  |           |           | <ul> <li>Cal beneficiaries of available services under the consolidation of SMHS.</li> <li>Review evidence of MHP community information and education plans (e.g., number of community presentations or forums and locations used to disseminate information, etc.).</li> </ul>  |

|     | CRITERIA  | FIND<br>Y         | ING<br>N            | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS   |
|-----|---|-------------------|---------------------|---|
| 7b. | Is there evidence of outreach for informing under-served target populations of the availability of cultural and linguistic services and programs?   |                   |                     | Policies and Procedures #  Outreach calendars and tracking reports  Fliers, outreach posters, sign-in sheets from community events  Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team  GUIDANCE:  Review evidence of MHP's outreach efforts (e.g., calendar of events, sign-in sheets, tracking logs, etc.).  "Under-served target populations" are beneficiaries with specific cultural and linguistic needs identified in the MHP's CCPR. Under-served communities are those groups who have low levels of access and/or use of mental health services, and who face pervasive institutional and socioeconomic barriers to obtaining health and mental health care.  Ask the MHP how the under-served target populations are identified in the CCPR. |
| •   | CCR, title 9, chapter 11, sections 1810.310(2)(B) and 1810.410 Information Notice 10-02 and Information Notice 10-17 MHP Contract, Exhibit A, Attachment I CMS/DHCS, section 1915(b) waiver | • N<br>• N<br>• N | ot follo<br>lo evid | DMPLIANCE: Dowing Cultural Competence Plan Requirements (CCPR).  Idence of community information and education plans.  Idence of outreach to under-served target populations identified in the MHP's  |

|           | CRITERIA   | FIND    | DING<br>N | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS   |  |
|-----------|--|---------|-----------|---|--|
| 8.<br>8a. | Regarding mental health services available to persons who are homeless and hard-to-reach individuals:  Is there evidence of assertive outreach to persons who are homeless with mental disabilities? |         |           | <ul> <li>SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:</li> <li>Policies and Procedures #</li> <li>Outreach calendars and tracking reports</li> <li>Fliers, outreach posters, sign-in sheets from community events</li> <li>Mobile response unit schedule/calendar</li> <li>Evidence of referrals or linkages with other social service agencies/services (e.g., homeless shelters, veterans services,</li> </ul>  |  |
| 8b.       | Is there evidence of assertive outreach to hard-to-reach individuals with mental disabilities?   |         |           | <ul> <li>law enforcement, churches, schools, etc.)</li> <li>Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team</li> <li>GUIDANCE:</li> <li>Assertive outreach should make mental health services available to homeless and hard-to-reach individuals with mental disabilities.</li> <li>Assertive outreach is outreach conducted where the target population is located.</li> <li>Review evidence of assertive outreach to persons who are homeless or hard-to-reach (e.g., calendar of events, sign-in sheets, tracking logs, etc.).</li> <li>"Hard-to-reach individuals" refers to any special population as defined by the MHP.</li> </ul> |  |
| •         | WIC, section 5600.2(d)   | No evid |           | COMPLIANCE: dence of any assertive outreach efforts to persons who are homeless and o-reach individuals.  |  |

|     | CRITERIA  | F<br>Y | INDIN<br>P | NG<br>N | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS  |
|-----|---|--------|------------|---------|--|
| 9a. | <ol> <li>Regarding the statewide, 24 hours a day, 7 days a week (24/7) toll-free telephone number:         <ol> <li>Does the MHP provide a statewide, toll-free telephone number 24 hours a day, seven days per week, with language capability in all languages spoken by beneficiaries of the county?</li> <li>Does the toll-free telephone number provide information to beneficiaries about how to access SMHS, including SMHSrequired to assess whether medical necessity criteria are met?</li> </ol> </li> <li>Does the toll-free telephone number provide information to beneficiaries about services needed to treat a beneficiary's urgent condition?</li> <li>Does the toll-free telephone number provide information to the beneficiaries about how to use the beneficiary problem resolution and fair hearing processes?</li> </ol> |        |            |         | DHCS test call worksheets  □ Policies and Procedures # □ Contracts/documentation of vendors providing language access for 24/7 statewide toll free line □ Test call scripts □ MHP test call results □ Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team  GUIDANCE:  • DHCS review team members will test the 24/7 toll-free telephone number in English and other language(s). • Information should be made available to all callers without regard to Medi-Cal status. • Results for each requirement will be calculated based on the test call findings.  Compliance %: □ Formula for calculating percentage: Total number of test calls meeting requirements/ Total number of test calls made (applicable to each requirement) by DHCS |

|     |  | FINDING |  |
|-----|--|---------|--|
| 9b. | CRITERIA  Does the MHP provide a statewide (24/7) toll-                          | YN      | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:   |
| 90. | free telephone number that provides adequate TTY/TDD or Telecommunications Relay |         | DHCS Test Calls  |
|     | Services?  |         | Policies and Procedures #  |
|     |  |         | ☐ Contracts/documentation of vendors providing service   |
|     |  |         | Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team   |
|     |  |         | GUIDANCE:  |
|     |  |         | <ul> <li>TTY (Text Telephone/Teletype)/TDD (Telecommunication Device for the Deaf) is an electronic device for text communication via a telephone line, used when one or more of the parties have hearing or speech difficulties. A Telecommunications Relay Service is an operator service that allows people who are Deaf, Hard—of—Hearing, Speech—Disabled, or Deaf and Blind to place calls to standard telephone users via a keyboard or assistive device. Originally, relay services were designed to be connected through a TTY/TDD or other assistive telephone device.</li> <li>If TTY/TDD or Telecommunications Relay Services are utilized, how are beneficiaries informed of the toll-free telephone number?</li> <li>Ask the MHP to provide evidence of TTY/TDD or Telecommunications Relay Services, including how the MHP ensures linguistic capabilities in all languages.</li> <li>Review practices that the MHP has in place for meeting clients' language needs.</li> </ul> |

| 9c. | Does the MHP provide training for staff responsible for the statewide toll-free 24-hour telephone line to ensure linguistic capabilities?  |   |                                      | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  Policies and Procedures #  Documentation of training plan, training records, and training activities  Training materials  Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team  GUIDANCE:  Review evidence of training for all staff responsible for the 24/7 statewide toll-free telephone line  Ask the MHP about frequency and content of training |
|-----|--|---|--------------------------------------|---|
|     | CCR, title 9, chapter 11, sections 1810.405(d) and 1810.410(e)(1) CFR, title 42, section 438.406 (a)(1) DMH Information Notice No. 10-02, Enclosure, Page 21, and DMH Information Notice No. 10-17, Enclosure, Page 16 MHP Contract, Exhibit A, Attachment I | • | MHP of<br>DHCS<br>Lack of<br>Service | COMPLIANCE: does not meet 24/7 toll-free requirements as evidenced by the results of test calls. If linguistic capacity, including TTY/TDD or Telecommunications Relayes, in all languages spoken by beneficiaries of the county as evidenced by sults of DHCS test calls   |

|      | CRITERIA  | FI<br>Y  | INDIN<br>P   | G<br>N          | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS  |
|------|---|--|--|-----------------|--|
| 10.  | Regarding the written log of initial requests for SMHS:   |  |  |                 | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  Policies and Procedures #  |
| 10a. | Does the MHP maintain a written log(s) of initial requests for SMHS that includes requests made by phone, in person, or in writing? |  |  |                 | ☐ Written Log(s) of Initial Requests ☐ Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team  |
| 10b. | Does the written log(s) contain the following required elements:  1. Name of the beneficiary?                                       | requests made by phone, in MHP may maintain the log e  Review the written logs for repertaining to the DHCS test of Test calls only requesting inf | Review evidence the log(s) are maintained for all requests made by phone, in person or in writing.  MHP may maintain the log electronically. |                 |  |
|      | 2. Date of the request?   |  | <ul><li>pertaining to the DHCS test calls.</li><li>Test calls only requesting information about the MHP's</li></ul>                          |                 |  |
|      | 3. Initial disposition of the request?  |  |  |                 | Problem Resolution and State Fair Hearing processes are not required by regulation to be logged.  Initial dispositions may include, but are not limited to: caller provided with clinic hours/location, beneficiary scheduled for assessment with [Provider] at [Date/time], warm hand off to 24 hour Crisis Clinician, etc. |
|      |   |  |  |                 | <ul> <li>Compliance %:</li> <li>Formula for calculating percentage: Total number of test calls logged with required elements/Total number of test calls made by DHCS</li> </ul>  |
| •    | CCR, title 9, chapter 11, section 1810.405(f)   | • V  | Vritten I<br>ИНР do  | log of<br>es no | initial requests does not meet Title 9 requirements. t log requests made by phone, in person, or in writing. st calls of initial requests are not evident on the written log   |

|             | CRITERIA  | FINDING<br>Y N |       | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS   |
|-------------|---|----------------|-------|---|
| 11.         | SURVEY ONLY:  Has the MHP updated its Cultural Competence Plan annually in accordance with regulations?   |                |       | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  Policies and Procedures # Cultural Competence Plan Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team  GUIDANCE: Review the MHP's Cultural Competence Plan to determine if it has been updated annually during the triennial review period. |
|             | DR title 9, section 1810.410  MH Information Notice 10-02 and 10-17   | • 1            | ИНР ( | COMPLIANCE does not have a current CCP did not furnish evidence its CCP is updated annually   |
| 12.<br>12a. | Regarding the MHP's Cultural Competence Committee (CCC):  Does the MHP have a CCC or other group that addresses cultural issues and has participation from cultural groups that is reflective of the community? |                |       | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  Policies and Procedures # Organizational Chart  CCC Agendas and Meeting Minutes   |
| 12b.        | Does the MHP have evidence of policies, procedures, and practices that demonstrate the CCC activities include the following:  1. Participates in overall planning and implementation of services at the county? |                |       | <ul> <li>☐ Cultural Competence Plan</li> <li>☐ QI Program review documentation</li> <li>☐ QIC Agendas and Minutes</li> <li>☐ Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team</li> </ul>  |

| CRITERIA  | FINE | DING<br>N | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS  |
|---|------|-----------|--|
| Provides reports to the Quality Assurance and/or the Quality Improvement Program? |      |           | <ul> <li>GUIDANCE:</li> <li>Review policies, procedures, and practices that assure members of the CCC will be reflective of the community including county management level and line staff, clients and family members from ethnic, racial, and cultural groups, providers, community partners, contractors, and other members as necessary (CCPR Criterion 4).</li> <li>If the MHP does not have a CCC, review evidence another committee or group reviews cultural competence issues (e.g., Quality Improvement Committee).</li> </ul> |

|      | CRITERIA  | FINDII   | NG<br>N                           | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS  |
|------|---|--|-----------------------------------|--|
| 12c. | Does the CCC complete its Annual Report of                            |  |                                   | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:   |
|      | CCC activities as required in the CCPR?                               |  |                                   | Policies and Procedures #  |
|      |   |  |                                   | CCC Annual Report(s)   |
|      |   |  |                                   | Cultural Competence Plan   |
|      |   |  |                                   | Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team   |
|      |   |  |                                   | GUIDANCE:  |
|      |   |  |                                   | Review the MHP's Cultural Competence Plan  |
|      |   |  |                                   | Review completed CCC reports   |
|      |   |  |                                   | Annual report of activities to include:  |
|      |   |  |                                   | <ul> <li>Goals and objectives of the committee</li> </ul>  |
|      |   |  |                                   | <ul> <li>Evaluation of goals and objectives</li> </ul>   |
|      |   |  |                                   | <ul> <li>Reviews and recommendations to county programs and<br/>services</li> </ul>  |
|      |   |  |                                   | <ul> <li>Goals of Cultural Competence Plans</li> </ul>   |
|      |   |  |                                   | Human Resources report (i.e., workforce development)   |
|      |   |  |                                   | and/or recruitment activities)   |
|      |   |  |                                   | <ul> <li>County organizational assessment</li> </ul>   |
|      |   |  |                                   | <ul> <li>Training plans</li> </ul>   |
|      | CR title 9, section 1810.410<br>MH Information Notice 10-02 and 10-17 | <ul><li>MH</li><li>MH</li><li>pla</li><li>MH</li></ul> | HP do<br>HP do<br>annino<br>HP do | DMPLIANCE Does not have an established Cultural Competence Committee Does not have policies, procedures or practices to ensure its CCC participates in Does not implementation of services in the county Does not demonstrate evidence the CCC reports to the QA/QI Program Does not complete an Annual Report of CCC Activities |

|      | CRITERIA   | FINI | DING<br>N | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS  |
|------|--|------|-----------|--|
| 13a. | Regarding the MHP's plan for annual cultural competence training necessary to ensure the provision of culturally competent services:  1) Is there a plan for cultural competency training for the administrative and management staff of the MHP?  2) Is there a plan for cultural competency training for persons providing SMHS employed by or contracting with the MHP? |      |           | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  □ Policies and Procedures # □ Cultural Competence Plan □ Cultural Competence Training Plan □ Documentation of training for administrative and management staff □ Documentation of training for persons providing SMHS employed by or contracting with the MHP  |
|      | 3) Is there a process that ensures that interpreters are trained and monitored for language competence (e.g., formal testing)?  Output  Description:   |      |           | <ul> <li>Documentation of training for interpreters and bilingual staff</li> <li>Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team</li> <li>GUIDANCE:         <ul> <li>Ask MHP to describe its process for ensuring that interpreters are trained and monitored for language competence.</li> <li>Determine if pre/post tests for fluency are part of bilingual pay policy.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Ask the MHP if interpreters are trained utilizing the Mental Health Interpreter Training curriculum and/or if interpreters receive general training on mental health systems.</li> </ul> |

|      | CRITERIA   | FINI<br>Y | DING<br>N                                      | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS  |
|------|--|-----------|--|--|
| 13b. | Does the MHP have evidence of the implementation of training programs to improve the cultural competence skills of staff and contract providers?   |           |  | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  □ Policies and Procedures # □ Documentation of tracking mechanisms to ensure all staff receive required annual training □ MHP Provider Contract □ Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team  GUIDANCE: • Review evidence that cultural competency training plans have been implemented during the triennial review period. • Review the MHP's Annual Training Report as required by the CCPR, DMH Information Notice 10-02. |
| •    | CCR, title 9, chapter 11, section 1810.410 (a)-(e) DMH Information Notice No. 10-02, Enclosure, Pages 16 & 22 and DMH Information Notice No. 10-17, Enclosure, Pages 13 & 17 MHP Contract, Exhibit A, Attachment I | • I       | No evi<br>rainin<br>suppoi<br>No evi<br>No evi | COMPLIANCE:  dence that the MHP has developed a plan to provide cultural competency g for all MHP staff and contracted providers to provide interpreter or other rt services to beneficiaries.  dence that the MHP has implemented training programs.  dence that the MHP has a process in place to ensure that interpreters are d and monitored for language competence (e.g., formal testing).   |

|     | CRITERIA  | <br>NDIN<br>P | <br>INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS  |
|-----|---|---------------|--|
| 1.  | Regarding the Treatment Authorization Requests (TARs) for hospital services:  |               | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  Policies and Procedures #  |
| 1a. | Are the TARs being approved or denied by licensed mental health or waivered/registered professionals of the beneficiary's MHP in accordance with title 9 regulations? |               | <ul> <li>□ Sample of TARs</li> <li>□ List of MHP licensed/waivered/registered staff responsible for reviewing TARs during sample period</li> <li>□ Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team</li> </ul>   |
|     |   |               | <ul> <li>GUIDANCE:</li> <li>Fee for Service hospitals must submit a TAR to the MHP Point of Authorization of the beneficiary.</li> <li>Review random sample of a minimum of 100 (DHCS selected) TARs for inpatient hospital services to determine if qualified mental health professionals are approving/denying TARs in accordance with title 9 regulations.</li> <li>Obtain list of MHP licensed/waivered/registered staff responsible for reviewing TARs during sample period and match it with the signature on the TARs.</li> <li>Compliance %:  Formula for calculating percentage: Total number of TARs reviewed that comply with regulatory requirements/Total number of TARs reviewed.</li> </ul> |

|     | CRITERIA  | <br>NDII<br>P | <br>INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS  |
|-----|---|---------------|--|
| 1b. | Are all adverse decisions regarding hospital requests for payment authorization that were based on criteria for medical necessity or emergency admission being reviewed and approved in accordance with title 9 regulations by: |               | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  Policies and Procedures #  Sample of TARs  Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team  |
|     | <ol> <li>a physician, or</li> <li>at the discretion of the MHP, by a psychologist for patients admitted by a psychologist and who received services under the psychologist's scope of practice?</li> </ol>                      |               | <ul> <li>GUIDANCE:</li> <li>Review random sample of DHCS selected TARs that were affected by adverse decisions (denials).</li> <li>Adverse decision is based on medical necessity criteria.</li> <li>Check TARs for evidence or supporting documentation of physician review or, when applicable, of psychologist review.</li> <li>Check if an NOA-C is issued to the beneficiary within 3 days when adverse decisions are rendered.</li> <li>Compliance %:</li> <li>Formula for calculating percentage: Total number of TARs reviewed that comply with regulatory requirements/Total number of TARs with adverse decisions reviewed.</li> </ul> |

|      |   |    | NDIN                    | _                           |  |
|------|---|----|-------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
|      | CRITERIA  | Y  | Р                       | N                           | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS  |
| 1c.  | Does the MHP approve or deny TARs within 14   |    |                         |                             | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:   |
|      | calendar days of the receipt of the TAR and in accordance with title 9 regulations? |    |                         |                             | ☐ Policies and Procedures #  |
|      |   |    |                         |                             | ☐ Sample of TARs   |
|      |   |    |                         |                             | Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team   |
|      |   |    |                         |                             | <ul> <li>GUIDANCE:</li> <li>Review random sample of DHCS selected TARs.</li> <li>Verify number of days between receipt date and approval date for each TAR reviewed.</li> <li>CCR, title 9, chapter 11, section 1810.242 states: "Receipt" means the receipt of a Treatment Authorization Request or other document. The "date of receipt" means the date the document was received as indicated by a date stamp made by the receiver or the fax date recorded on the document. For documents submitted by mail, the postmark date must be used as the date of receipt in the absence of a date/time stamp made by the receiver.</li> <li>If MHP grants an extension, is the MHP notifying the beneficiary of the extension per CCR title 9, section 1820.220(i)?</li> </ul> |
|      |   |    |                         |                             | <ul> <li>Compliance %:         <ul> <li>Formula for calculating percentage: Total number of TARs reviewed that comply with regulatory requirements/Total number of TARs reviewed.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>   |
| • C( | CR, title 9, chapter 11, sections 1810.242, 1820.220(c),(d),                        | OL | JT C                    | )F (                        | COMPLIANCE:  |
|      | 320.220 (f), 1820.220 (h), and 1820.215.  | •  | TAI                     | Rs r                        | not being approved or denied by qualified staff in accordance with title 9   |
|      | FR, title 42, section 438.210(d)  | •  | reg<br>Phy<br>No<br>sup | ulat<br>ysici<br>phy<br>por | ions. ian or, when applicable, a psychologist is not reviewing adverse decisions. sician signature regarding adverse decisions on the TAR or no evidence or ting documentation of physician review.  |
|      |   | •  |                         |                             | HP is not approving or denying TARs within 14 calendar days of the receipt of the nd in accordance with title 9 regulations.   |

|           | CRITERIA  | FIN<br>Y | NDIN<br>P | IG<br>N | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS  |
|-----------|---|----------|-----------|---------|--|
| 2.<br>2a. | Regarding Standard Authorizations Requests for non-hospital SMHS:  Does the MHP have written policies and procedures for initial and continuing authorizations of SMHS as a condition of reimbursement? |          |           |         | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  Policies and Procedures #  Payment authorization checklist/tools  Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team  GUIDANCE:  Have the MHP describe the providers and non-hospital SMHS subject to payment authorization.  Review the procedure/system for informing providers and county staff of the need to request an MHP payment authorization. An MHP payment authorization refers to a written, electronic, or verbal authorization given by an MHP to a service provider. |

|     | CRITERIA   | INDII<br>P | _ | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS  |
|-----|--|------------|---|--|
| 2b. | Are payment authorization requests being approved or denied by licensed mental health professionals or waivered/registered professionals of the beneficiary's MHP?   |            |   | Suggested Documentation:  □ Policies and Procedures # □ Sample of Standard Authorization Requests (SARs) □ Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team  Guidance: • Review a random sample of payment authorization requests (50 per medium/large county, 25 per small county). • CFR, title 42, section 438.210(b)(3): "Licensed psychiatric technicians and licensed vocational nurses may approve or deny such requests only when the provider indicates that the beneficiary to whom the SMHS will be delivered has an urgent condition as defined in Section 1810.253."  Compliance %: □ Formula for calculating percentage: Total number of SARs reviewed that comply with regulatory requirements/Total number of SARs reviewed. |
| 2c. | For standard authorization decisions, does the MHP make an authorization decision and provide notice as expeditiously as the beneficiary's health condition requires and within 14 calendar days following receipt of the request for service with a possible extension of up to 14 additional days? |            |   | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  Policies and Procedures #  Sample of SARs  Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team  |

|     | CRITERIA   | INDII<br>P | _ | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS   |
|-----|--|------------|---|---|
| 2d. | For expedited authorization decisions, does the MHP make an expedited authorization decision and provide notice as expeditiously as the beneficiary's health condition requires and within 3 working days following receipt of the request for service or, when applicable, within 14 calendar days of an extension? |            |   | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  □ Policies and Procedures # □ Sample of SARs □ Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team  GUIDANCE: • Is the process in accordance with CFR title 42 regulations? • Extension for an additional 14 calendar days is possible if: □ Beneficiary or provider requests an extension. □ MHP identifies need for additional information, documents the need and how the extension is in the beneficiary's best interest within its authorization records. • If an extension is requested, review the process for notifying the beneficiary and a random sample of the written notifications. • For cases in which a provider indicates, or the MHP determines, that following the standard timeframe could seriously jeopardize the enrollee's life or health or ability to attain, maintain, or regain maximum function, the MHP must make an expedited authorization decision and provide notice as expeditiously as the enrollee's health condition requires and no later than three (3) working days after receipt of the request for services.  Compliance %:  • Formula for calculating percentage: Total number of SARs reviewed that comply with regulatory requirements/Total number of SARs reviewed. |

|      |   | FINDIN |                                  |                                     |   |
|------|---|--------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|
| 2e.  | CRITERIA  Does the MHP provide out-of-plan services to beneficiaries placed out of county?  | Y      | PI                               | N                                   | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS  SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  Policies and Procedures #  Authorizations for Out-of-Plan Services  Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team   |
|      |   |        |                                  |                                     | <ul> <li>GUIDANCE:</li> <li>Review SARS and authorization decisions for out-of-county services.</li> <li>Review policies and procedures for providing out-of-plan services to beneficiaries placed out of county.</li> </ul>  |
| • CF | FR, title 42, section 438.210(b)(3) FR, title 42, section 438.210(d)(1),(2) CR, title 9, chapter 11, sections 1810.253, 1830.220, 10.365, and 1830.215 (a-g)  | •      | MHI<br>MHI<br>urge<br>The<br>The | P is<br>P is<br>ent<br>e Mi<br>e Mi | compliance: s not using appropriate staff to approve/deny authorizations. s using Licensed Psychiatric Technicians (LPTs) and LVNs when an technician does not exist. IHP is not making authorization decisions within the required timeframes. IHP is not providing notices within the required timeframes. IHP does not have a process for expedited authorization decisions. |
| 3.   | Regarding payment authorization for Day Treatment Intensive and Day Rehabilitation Services:  |        |                                  |                                     | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  Policies and Procedures #   |
| 3a.  | The MHP requires providers to request advance payment authorization for Day Treatment Authorization and Day Rehabilitation in accordance with MHP Contract:  1) In advance of service delivery when services will be provided for more than 5 days per week |        |                                  |                                     | <ul> <li>□ Sample of DTI/DR Authorizations</li> <li>□ Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team</li> <li>■ GUIDANCE:</li> <li>• Review Day Treatment Intensive and Day Rehabilitation authorizations (20 per large/medium county, 10 per small county).</li> <li>• Review Day Treatment policies and procedures</li> </ul>   |

|      | CRITERIA  |            | NDII<br>P | _      | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS  |
|------|---|------------|-----------|--------|--|
|      | At least every 3 months for continuation<br>of Day Treatment Intensive  |            |           |        | Check that the procedure/system has assurances that payment is not being made without prior authorization for services   |
|      | At least every 6 months for continuation of Day Rehabilitation  |            |           |        | provided more than 5 days per week.  |
|      | 4) The MHP requires providers to request authorization for mental health services provided concurrently with day treatment intensive and day rehabilitation, excluding services to treat emergency and urgent conditions. |            |           |        | Compliance %:     Formula for calculating percentage: Total number of Day     Treatment Authorizations reviewed that comply with regulatory     requirements/Total number of Day Treatment Authorizations     reviewed   |
| • DN | R, title 9, chapter 11, sections 1830.215 (e) and 1840.318.<br>IH Information Notice 02-06, Enclosures, Pages 1-5<br>IH Letter No. 03-03  | <u>O</u> I | No        | ot fol | COMPLIANCE: Illowing title 9 regulations. yment authorization system in place that meets requirements.   |
| 4.   | Regarding consistency in the authorization process:   |            |           |        | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  Policies and Procedures #  |
| 4a.  | Does the MHP have a mechanism to ensure consistent application of review criteria for authorization decisions?  |            |           |        | UM review tools (e.g., chart review tools, inter-rater reliability tools, etc.)  |
| 4b.  | Is there evidence that the MHP is reviewing Utilization Management (UM) activities annually, including monitoring activities to ensure that the MHP meets the established standards for authorization decision making?    |            |           |        | <ul> <li>Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team</li> <li>GUIDANCE:         <ul> <li>Ask the MHP to describe their UM procedures to review for consistency in authorization decisions.</li> <li>What actions does the MHP take to ensure consistency in authorization decisions?</li> </ul> </li> </ul> |
| •    | MHP Contract, Exhibit A, Attachment 1   | •          | M         | HP c   | COMPLIANCE does not have a mechanism to ensure consistent application of rization decisions  |

(Rev. 09/09/2015) Page 33 FY 2015-2016 Protocol

|     | CRITERIA  | NDIN( | _ | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS   |
|-----|---|-------|---|---|
| 5.  | Regarding Notices of Action (NOAs):   |       |   | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  |
| 5a. | <ol> <li>NOA-A: Is the MHP providing a written<br/>NOA-A to the beneficiary when the MHP</li> </ol> |       |   | Policies and Procedures #   |
|     | or its providers determine that the   |       |   | ☐ Sample NOAs (A-E)   |
|     | beneficiary does not meet the medical necessity criteria to be eligible to any                      |       |   | Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team  |
|     | SMHS?   |       |   | GUIDANCE (applies to questions 5a-5e):  |
|     |   |       |   | <ul> <li>Review NOAs issued during the triennial review period.</li> </ul>  |
|     |   |       |   | <ul> <li>Is the MHP issuing a NOA-A in accordance with the title 9 and<br/>title 42 requirements?</li> </ul>                  |
|     |   |       |   | The MHP must retain copies of all NOAs issued to the beneficiaries in a centralized file accessible to the Department.        |
|     |   |       |   | <ul> <li>Revised versions of DHCS approved NOAs are dated June 1,</li> </ul>  |
|     |   |       |   | 2005.   |
|     |   |       |   | <ul> <li>If utilizing a form different from the DHCS approved form, does<br/>it contain all the required elements?</li> </ul> |
|     |   |       |   | If MHP has not issued NOA-As during the triennial review period, the reviewer will indicate "not applicable."                 |

|     | CRITERIA   | FIND<br>Y P |   | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS  |
|-----|--|-------------|---|--|
| 5b. | 2) Does the MHP provide for a second opinion from a qualified health care professional within the MHP network or arrange for the beneficiary to obtain a second opinion outside the MHP network, at no cost to the beneficiary?  NOA-B: Is the MHP providing a written NOA-B to the beneficiary when the MHP denies, modifies, or defers (beyond timeframes) a payment authorization request from a provider for SMHS? | YP          | N | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  □ Policies and Procedures # □ Sample of second opinion requests and determinations □ Second opinion tracking documentation □ Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team  GUIDANCE: • Review documentation of second opinion requests and determinations. Ask the MHP to show you at least 2 examples of such a request being made, including initial request and the documented outcome. • MHP network includes individual, group, and organizational providers.  SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION: □ Policies and Procedures # □ Sample of NOA-Bs □ Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team  GUIDANCE: • Is the MHP or its providers providing a NOA-B when payment authorization requests are denied, modified, or deferred beyond timeframes? • Review NOA-Bs issued during the triennial review period. • Review and compare with authorization requests. • If MHP has not issued NOA-Bs during the triennial review |
|     |  |             |   | period, the reviewer will indicate "not applicable."   |

(Rev. 09/09/2015) Page 35 FY 2015-2016 Protocol

|     | CRITERIA   | NDIN<br>P | _ | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS  |
|-----|--|-----------|---|--|
| 5c. | NOA-C: Is the MHP providing a written NOA-C to the beneficiary when the MHP denies payment authorization of a service that has already been delivered to the beneficiary as a result of a retrospective payment determination? |           |   | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  Policies and Procedures #  Sample of NOA-Cs  Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team  GUIDANCE:  Review NOA-Cs issued during the triennial review period.  Applies to both hospital and non-hospital service(s).  Does the MHP deny payment authorization of services that have already been delivered?  Review and compare In-patient TARs for denied days and issuance of an NOA-C, when applicable.  Compliance %: Formula for calculating percentage: Total number of NOA-Cs reviewed that comply with regulatory requirements/Total number of TARs issued with denied days |

|     | CRITERIA   | FI<br>Y | NDIN<br>P | NG<br>N | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS  |
|-----|--|---------|-----------|---------|--|
| 5d. | NOA-D: Is the MHP providing a written NOA-D to the beneficiary when the MHP fails to act within the timeframes for disposition of standard grievances, the resolution of standard appeals, or the resolution of expedited appeals? |         |           |         | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  □ Policies and Procedures # □ Sample of NOA-Ds □ Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team  GUIDANCE: • Review the grievances/appeals log(s) to determine if the MHP has failed to act within the required timeframes. • Review NOA-Ds issued during the triennial review period. • If MHP has not issued NOA-Ds during the triennial review period, the reviewer will indicate "not applicable."  Compliance %: Formula for calculating percentage: Total number of NOA-Ds reviewed that comply with regulatory requirements/Total number of NOA-Ds reviewed |

|     | CRITERIA  |             | NDIN<br>P                               |  | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS   |
|-----|---|-------------|---|--|---|
| 5e. | NOA-E: Is the MHP providing a written NOA-E to the beneficiary when the MHP fails to provide a service in a timely manner, as determined by the Contractor (MHP)?   |             |   |  | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  □ Policies and Procedures # □ Sample of NOA-Es □ MHP's Timeliness Standards □ Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team  GUIDANCE: • Review the MHP standards for the delivery of services in a timely manner. • Review evidence of the tracking mechanism used by the MHP to determine if services are delivered in a timely manner. • Review NOA-Es issued during the triennial review period. • If MHP has not issued NOA-Es during triennial review period, the reviewer will indicate "not applicable."  Compliance %: Formula for calculating percentage: Total number of NOA-Es reviewed that comply with regulatory requirements/Total number of NOA-Es reviewed |
| •   | CFR, title 42, sections 438.10(c), 438.400(b) and 438.404(c)(2)  CCR, title 9, chapter 11, sections 1830.205(a),(b)(1),(2),(3), 1850.210 (a)-(j) and 1850.212  DMH Letter No. 05-03  MHP Contract, Exhibit A, Attachment I  CFR, title 42, section 438.206(b)(3)  CCR, title 9, chapter 11, section 1810.405(e) | <u>Ol</u> • | Th<br>Th<br>No<br>pro<br>No<br>at<br>Th | nere<br>ne M<br>o evi<br>ofes<br>o evi<br>no o | OMPLIANCE: It is evidence the MHP is not issuing NOAs per regulations. IHP is not using the revised versions of NOAs dated June 1, 2005. Idence the MHP provides for a second opinion from a qualified health care issional within the MHP network. Idence that the MHP is arranging for a second opinion outside the MHP network, cost to the beneficiary. IHP does not provide for a second opinion process in accordance with title 9 and 2 regulations.   |

|    | CRITERIA   |     | DING<br>P N               | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS   |
|----|--|-----|---------------------------|---|
| 6. | Does the MHP have a policy and procedure in place which ensures that Forms JV-220 (Application Regarding Psychotropic Medication), JV-220(A) (Prescribing Physician's Statement—Attachment), JV-221 (Proof of Notice: Application Regarding Psychotropic Medication), JV-222 (Opposition to Application Regarding Psychotropic Medication), and JV-223 (Order Regarding Application for Psychotropic Medication) will be completed and in the beneficiary's medical record when psychotropic medications are prescribed under the following circumstances:  1) When a child is under the jurisdiction of the juvenile court and living in an out-of-home placement and the child's physician is asking for an order:  • Giving permission for the child to receive a psychotropic medication that is not currently authorized or  • Renewing an order for a psychotropic medication that was previous authorized for the child because the order is due to expire?  2) For a child who is a ward of the juvenile court and living in a foster care placement, as defined in Welfare and Institutions Code Section 727.4? |     |                           | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  □ Policies and Procedures #  GUIDANCE:  • Use of the forms is optional for a child who is a ward of the juvenile court and living in an out-of-home facility that is not considered a foster care placement as defined in Welfare and Institutions Code Section 727.4, unless one of the forms is required by a local rule of court.  • Use of the forms is not required if the court has previously entered an order giving the child's parent the authority to approve or deny the administration of psychotropic medication to the child.  • The JV220 does not replace the need for a medication consent form to be completed. A physician could review with the beneficiary the elements in the JV220 that are some of the elements required to be covered as part of the medication consent form but medication consent needs to be completed and signed. The consent could document that the JV220 information was reviewed with the beneficiary and the JV220 could be attached to the medication consent form. |
| •  | Judicial Council Forms, JV 219   | • 1 | MHP d<br>comple<br>of-hom | does provide evidence of a policy or procedure to ensure Form JV-220 is eted when a child is under the jurisdiction of the juvenile court and living in an outne placement and the child's physician is asking for an order or for a child who is a of the juvenile court and living in a foster care placement.  |

|    | CRITERIA  | FINDING<br>Y P N | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS  |
|----|---|------------------|--|
| 1. | Has the MHP developed a beneficiary problem resolution process that meets title 9 and title 42 regulatory requirements for each of the following: |                  | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  Policies and Procedures #  Beneficiary booklet  Problem Resolution Informing Materials  Problem Resolution forms  Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team   |
|    | <ul><li>a) A grievance process.</li><li>b) An appeal process.</li><li>c) An expedited appeal process.</li></ul>                                   |                  | <ul> <li>GUIDANCE:</li> <li>CCR, title 9, chapter 11, section 1850.208 (a)(b)</li> <li>The expedited appeal process must, at a minimum: <ul> <li>(a) Be used when the MHP determines, or the beneficiary and/or the beneficiary's provider certifies, that taking the time for a standard appeal resolution could seriously jeopardize the beneficiary's life, health or ability to attain, maintain, or regain maximum function.</li> <li>(b) Allow the beneficiary to file the request for an expedited appeal orally without requiring that the request be followed by a written appeal.</li> </ul> </li> <li>MHP to resolve and notify within three (3) working days after receipt of expedited appeal.</li> </ul> |
| •  | CCR, title 9, chapter 11, sections 1850.206 (a) (b), 1850.207, and 1850.208 (a) (b) CFR, title 42, section 438.402 Subpart F                      | MHP b            | compliance: Deneficiary problem resolution process does not meet title 9 and title 42 tory requirements.   |

|     | CRITERIA  | FII<br>Y | NDIN<br>P | _ | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS  |
|-----|---|----------|-----------|---|--|
| 2.  | The MHP is required to maintain a grievance, appeal, and expedited appeal log(s) that records the grievances, appeals, and expedited appeals within one working day of the date of receipt of the grievance, appeal, or expedited appeal. |          |           |   | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  Policies and Procedures # Grievances, Appeals, and Expedited Appeals Grievance, Appeals, Expedited Appeals Log(s)  |
| 2a. | The log must include:  1) The name or identifier of the beneficiary.  |          |           |   | Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team  GUIDANCE:  Review logs to determine if required elements are logged  |
|     | The date of receipt of the grievance, appeal, and expedited appeal.   |          |           |   | <ul> <li>Review a sample of grievances, appeals, and/or expedited appeals (20 grievances and appeals per medium/large county), (10 grievances and appeals per small county); review sample of any expedited appeals received.</li> <li>Verify information is present for each grievance, appeal and expedited appeal.</li> </ul> |
|     | 3) The nature of the problem.   |          |           |   | Compliance %: Formula for calculating percentage: Total number of GAEA logged by MHP/Total number of GAEA received by MHP  |

|     | CRITERIA  |                | NDII<br>P |             | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS   |
|-----|---|----------------|-----------|-------------|---|
| 2b. | Does the MHP's log match data reported in the Annual Beneficiary Grievance and Appeal report submitted to DHCS?   |                |           |             | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  Grievance, Appeals, Expedited Appeals Log(s)  Annual Beneficiary Grievance and Appeal Report(s)  Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team  GUIDANCE:  MHP is required to submit an annual report that summarizes beneficiary grievances, appeals, and expedited appeals received during the fiscal year.  The report must include the total number of grievances, appeals, and expedited appeals by type, subject areas, and disposition. |
| •   | CCR, title 9, chapter 11, section 1850.205(d)(1) CCR, title 9, chapter 11, section 1810.375(a)  | <u>Ol</u><br>• | Lo<br>ap  | g(s)<br>pea | OMPLIANCE:  ) do not contain this information on all grievances/appeals/expedited  als  og(s) do not match the Annual Beneficiary Grievance and Appeal report   |
| 3.  | Regarding established timeframes for grievances, appeals, and expedited appeals:  |                |           |             | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  Policies and Procedures #   |
| За. | Does the MHP ensure that grievances are resolved within established timeframes?      Does the MHP ensure that appeals are resolved within established timeframes? |                |           |             | <ul><li>☐ Grievances, Appeals, and Expedited Appeals</li><li>☐ Grievance, Appeals, Expedited Appeals Log(s)</li><li>☐ Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team</li></ul>  |

|      |  |   | NDI  |              |  |
|------|--|---|------|--------------|--|
|      | CRITERIA   | Υ | Р    | N            | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS  |
|      | Does the MHP ensure that expedited appeals are resolved within established timeframes? |   |      |              | <ul> <li>GUIDANCE:</li> <li>Review logs and sample grievances, appeals and/or expedited appeals to verify the MHP is meeting established timeframes for resolving grievances, appeals, and expedited appeals.</li> </ul> |
|      |  |   |      |              | Compliance %: Formula for calculating percentage: Total number of GAEA resolved within established timeframes/Total number of GAEA reviewed  |
| 3b.  | Does the MHP ensure required notice(s) of an   |   |      |              | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:   |
|      | extension are given to beneficiaries?  |   |      |              | ☐ Policies and Procedures #  |
|      |  |   |      |              | ☐ Grievances, Appeals, and Expedited Appeals   |
|      |  |   |      |              | ☐ Grievance, Appeals, Expedited Appeals Log(s)   |
|      |  |   |      |              | ☐ Notification letter template   |
|      |  |   |      |              | ☐ Sample notification letters  |
|      |  |   |      |              | Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team   |
|      |  |   |      |              | GUIDANCE:  |
|      |  |   |      |              | MHP to provide written notification to beneficiaries if the timeframe for resolving grievances, appeals, and/or expedited appeals is extended.   |
| • 05 | <br>FR, title 42, section 438.408(a),(b)(1)(2)(3)                                      |   | IT C | )F C         | Review samples of the notices sent to beneficiaries.  OMPLIANCE:   |
|      | <ul> <li>CCR, title 9, chapter 11, section 1850.206(b)</li> </ul>                      |   |      |              | oes not have a mechanism to ensure that grievances, appeals, and/or expedited  |
|      | • CCR, title 9, chapter 11, section 1850.207(c)  |   | ар   | peal         | s are resolved within established timeframes   |
| • CC | CR, title 9, chapter 11, section 1850.208.   | • |      | HP d<br>tend | oes not furnish evidence it is notifying beneficiaries when the timeframe is ed  |

(Rev. 09/09/2015) Page 43 FY 2015-2016 Protocol

|                  | CRITERIA   |             | NDIN<br>P | _                     | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS   |
|------------------|--|-------------|-----------|-----------------------|---|
| 4.<br>4a.<br>4b. | <ol> <li>Regarding notification to beneficiaries:</li> <li>Does the MHP provide written acknowledgement of each grievance to the beneficiary in writing?</li> <li>Is the MHP notifying beneficiaries, or their representatives, of the grievance disposition, and is this being documented?</li> <li>Does the MHP provide written acknowledgement of each appeal to the beneficiary in writing?</li> <li>Is the MHP notifying beneficiaries, or their representatives, of the appeal disposition,</li> </ol> |             |           |                       | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  Policies and Procedures # Grievances, Appeals, and Expedited Appeals Grievance, Appeals, Expedited Appeals Log(s) Acknowledgement letter template Disposition letter template Sample notification letters Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team  GUIDANCE:   |
| 4c.              | <ul> <li>and is this being documented?</li> <li>1) Does the MHP provide written acknowledgement of each expedited appeal to the beneficiary in writing?</li> <li>2) Is the MHP notifying beneficiaries, or their representatives, of the expedited appeal disposition, and is this being documented?</li> </ul>  |             |           |                       | <ul> <li>Review at least 10-15 completed grievances, appeals, and expedited appeals (e.g., grievance, acknowledgement, decision letter, provider notification, etc.), if MHP has received any during review cycle.</li> <li>Unless extension was requested, grievance or appeal disposition timeframes are no later than 60 calendar days for grievances; 45 calendar days for appeals, and 3 working days for expedited appeals.</li> <li>Compliance %:</li> </ul> |
| • C              | FR, title 42, section 438.406(a)(2) CR, title 9, chapter 11, section 1850.205(d)(4) FR, title 42, section 438.408(d)(1)(2) CR, title 9, chapter 11, sections 1850.206(b),(c), 850.207(c),(h), and 1850.208(d),(e)  | <u>Ol</u> • | MH<br>wri | IP n<br>ting.<br>e MI | Formula for calculating percentage: Total number of beneficiaries appropriately notified /Total number of GAEA reviewed COMPLIANCE:  not acknowledging the receipt of each grievance/appeals/expedited appeal in .  HP is not notifying the beneficiary or their representatives of the grievance or l disposition.   |

|                  | CRITERIA  | <br>DING<br>P N | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS   |
|------------------|---|-----------------|---|
| 5.               | Does the written notice of the appeal resolution include the following:   |                 | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  Policies and Procedures #   |
| 5a.              | The results of the resolution process and the date it was completed?  |                 | <ul><li>☐ Sample written notices of appeal resolution</li><li>☐ Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team</li></ul>  |
| 5b.              | Notification of the right and how to request a State fair hearing, if beneficiary is dissatisfied with the appeal decision?   |                 | <ul> <li>GUIDANCE:</li> <li>Review evidence that the MHP advised the beneficiary of the right to request a State fair hearing if the beneficiary is dissatisfied with the appeal decision.</li> <li>"Notice" refers to notice of disposition to beneficiaries or their representatives.</li> <li>DMH Letter No. 05-03 states; Effective July 1, 2005, beneficiaries will be required to exhaust the MHP's problem resolution process prior to filing for a State fair hearing.</li> </ul> |
| rei<br>20<br>• C | FR, title 42, section 438.408I(1),(2)(as modified by the waiver newal request of August, 2002 and CMS letter, August 22, 103) CR, title 9, chapter 11, section 1850.207(h)(3) MH Letter No. 05-03 |                 | OMPLIANCE: itten notice does not include requirements 5a and 5b.  |

|     | CRITERIA   |   | DING<br>P N | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS   |
|-----|--|---|-------------|---|
| 6.  | Is the MHP notifying those providers cited by the beneficiary (or otherwise involved in the grievance, appeal, or expedited appeal) of the final disposition of the beneficiary's grievance, appeal or expedited appeal? |   |             | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  Policies and Procedures #  Notification letter templates  Sample written notices to providers  Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team  GUIDANCE:  Ask the MHP how its providers are notified of final disposition. Review evidence of provider notification.  Ask the MHP how it provides information about the grievance system to all providers and subcontractors. |
| • ( | CCR, title 9, chapter 11, section 1850.205(d)(6)   | • |             | OMPLIANCE:  HP is not notifying the provider of the grievance, appeal or expedited appeal ition.  |

|     | CRITERIA  |     | DING<br>P N | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS   |
|-----|---|-----|-------------|---|
| 7.  | Does the MHP ensure services are continued while an appeal or State fair hearing is pending?  |     |             | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  Policies and Procedures #  Documentation of continued services for beneficiaries pending appeals and/or State Fair Hearings  Documentation of written notice to beneficiaries, if Aid Paid Pending (APP) criteria are met  Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team  GUIDANCE:  Beneficiaries must have met APP criteria per CCR, title 22, section 51014.2 (i.e., beneficiary made a request for an appeal within 10 days of the date the NOA was mailed or given to the beneficiary or, if the effective date of the change is more than 10 days from the NOA date, before the effective date of the change). |
| •   | CFR, title 42, section 438.420<br>CCR, title 9, chapter 11, section 1850.215<br>CCR, title 22, section 51014.2<br>DMH Letter No. 05-03  | • V |             | COMPLIANCE:  APP criteria have been met, the MHP is not continuing SMHS as ed.  |
| 8.  | Regarding notice to the Quality Improvement Committee (QIC) and subsequent action:  |     |             | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  Policies and Procedures #   |
| 8a. | 1) Does the MHP have procedures by which issues identified as a result of the grievance process are transmitted to the MHP's QIC, the MHP's administration or another appropriate body within the MHP's organization? |     |             | <ul><li>☐ QIC Meeting Agendas and Minutes</li><li>☐ QIC Work Plan</li><li>☐ Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team</li></ul>  |

|     | CDITEDIA  |     | DING<br>P N     | INSTRUCTIONS TO DEVIEWERS  |
|-----|---|-----|-----------------|--|
|     | 2) Does the MHP have procedures by which issues identified as a result of the appeal process are transmitted to the MHP's QIC, the MHP's administration, or another appropriate body within the MHP's organization?  3) Does the MHP have procedures by which | Y   | PN              | <ul> <li>INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS</li> <li>GUIDANCE:         <ul> <li>MHP to identify issues resulting from grievances, appeals and/or expedited appeals.</li> </ul> </li> <li>MHP to provide evidence the QIC, the MHP's administration, or another appropriate body within the MHP was made aware of identified issues resulting from grievances, appeals and/or</li> </ul> |
|     | issues identified as a result of the expedited appeal process are transmitted to the MHP's QIC, the MHP's administration or another appropriate body within the MHP's organization?   |     |                 | expedited appeals.   |
| 8b. | When applicable, has there been subsequent implementation of needed system changes?   |     |                 | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  Policies and Procedures # QIC Meeting Agendas and Minutes QIC Work Plan Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team  GUIDANCE:  MHP to describe and give documented examples of implemented system changes resulting from grievances, appeals and/or expedited appeals.   |
|     | title 9, chapter 11, sections 1850.205(c)(7), 1850.206,<br>207 and 1850.208.  | • T | The M<br>Evider | COMPLIANCE: HP does not have procedures in place. ace procedures not being followed. nentation of needed system changes not taking place.  |

#### SECTION D FUNDING, REPORTING AND CONTRACTING REQUIREMENTS

| CRITERIA | FINDING<br>Y P N | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS   |
|----------|------------------|---|
|          |                  | E MOVED TO THE ATTESTATION. IN FUTURE VERSIONS OF STIONS TO THIS SECTION. AS SUCH, THE SECTION HEADER |

|    | CRITERIA  | FINDING |  |
|----|---|---------|--|
| 1. | Does the MHP have a current Implementation Plan which meets title 9 requirements? |         | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  Policies and Procedures # Implementation Plan  GUIDANCE:  MHP must submit to DHCS any proposed changes in the policies, processes, and procedures that would modify its Implementation Plan.  Verify policies and procedures reviewed (i.e., Problem Resolution, Provider Selection and Maintaining Network of Providers, Outreach, etc.) match the Implementation Plan. |

|    |   | <br>   |
|----|---|--|
| 2. | Does the MHP offer an appropriate range of  | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:   |
|    | SMHS that is adequate for the anticipated number of beneficiaries that will be served by the MHP? | Policies and Procedures #  |
|    |   | ☐ Implementation Plan  |
|    |   | ☐ Medi-Cal Eligibility and Utilization Data Analysis Reports   |
|    |   | ☐ Capacity Data Reports  |
|    |   | Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team   |
|    |   | GUIDANCE:  |
|    |   | <ul> <li>Review evidence the MHP reviews capacity of its service providers.</li> </ul>   |
|    |   | <ul> <li>Review data and documentation that services and programs<br/>at all levels have the capacity to provide culturally competent<br/>services to the target populations.</li> </ul> |
|    |   | • CCR, title 9, chapter 11, section 1810.247. SMHS means:  |
|    |   | (a) Rehabilitative Mental Health Services, including:  |
|    |   | (1) Mental health services;  |
|    |   | <ul><li>(2) Medication support services;</li><li>(3) Day treatment intensive;</li></ul>  |
|    |   | (4) Day rehabilitation;  |
|    |   | (5) Crisis intervention;   |
|    |   | (6) Crisis stabilization; (7) Adult residential treatment services;  |
|    |   | (8) Crisis residential treatment services;   |
|    |   | <ul><li>(9) Psychiatric health facility services;</li><li>(b) Psychiatric Inpatient Hospital Services;</li></ul>   |
|    |   | (c) Targeted Case Management;  |
|    |   | (d) Psychiatrist Services;   |
|    |   | <ul><li>(e) Psychologist Services;</li><li>(f) EPSDT Supplemental SMHS; and</li></ul>  |
|    |   | (g) Psychiatric Nursing Facility Services.   |
|    |   |  |

| <ul><li>3.</li><li>CC</li></ul> | Does the MHP maintain a network of providers that is sufficient in number, mix, and geographic distribution to meet the needs of the anticipated number of beneficiaries that will be served by the MHP?  CR, title 9, chapter 11, sections 1810.247 and 1810.310 | • 1 | •          | PLIANCE: ementation Plan is not current ementation Plan does not include required elements   |
|---------------------------------|---|-----|------------|--|
| 4.                              | To the extent resources are available:  |     |            | GGESTED DOCUMENTATION:   |
| 4a.                             | Are services encouraged in every geographic area to ensure access by members of the target populations for all age groups?  |     |            | Policies and Procedures # MHP Service Map  |
| 4b.                             | Are services planned and delivered so that  |     |            | Target population data   |
|                                 | persons in all ethnic groups are served with programs that meet their cultural needs?   |     |            | Cultural Competence Plan   |
| 4c.                             | Are services in rural areas designed and  |     |            | Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team   |
|                                 | developed in flexible ways to meet the needs of the indigent and uninsured?   |     | •          | Review service maps and data indicating location of MHP's services.  Review evidence services are planned and delivered to serve the cultural needs of all ethnic groups.  Ask the MHP how it provides flexible services in rural areas to meet the needs of the indigent and uninsured. |
| • WI                            | C, sections 5600.2 to 5600.9, 5600.35(a), and 5614  | •   |            | PLIANCE:  nt resources are available; evidence the county is not maintaining the nciples as required under WIC regulations.  |
|                                 |   | á   | array of t | nt resources are available, evidence the county is not organized to provide treatment options in every geographic area to the target population as described in the WIC regulations.   |

| 5.   | Does the MHP maintain and monitor a network of        |     |      | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  |
|------|---|-----|------|---|
| ა.   |   |     |      | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION.  |
|      | appropriate providers that is supported by written    |     |      | ☐ Policies and Procedures #   |
|      | agreements that consider the following:               |     |      |   |
|      |   |     |      | Data analysis of factors identified in 3a-e                                     |
| 5a.  | The anticipated number of Medi-Cal eligible           |     |      |   |
|      | clients?  |     |      | ☐ Provider contracts  |
| 5b.  | The expected utilization of services?                 |     |      | Mana of goographic locations where consider are provided                        |
| 5c.  | The number and types of providers in terms of         |     |      | ☐ Maps of geographic locations where services are provided                      |
|      | training, experience and specialization needed to     |     |      | Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team                                |
|      | meet expected utilization?                            |     |      | Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team                                |
| 5d.  | The number of network providers who are not           |     |      | GUIDANCE:   |
| Ju.  | accepting new beneficiaries?                          |     |      |   |
| Fo   | , ,   |     |      | "Network" includes all providers (individual, group, and                        |
| 5e.  | The geographic location of providers and their        |     |      | organizational), including county and contract providers.                       |
|      | accessibility to beneficiaries, considering distance, |     |      | Written agreement means MHP written contracts with its                          |
|      | travel time, means of transportation ordinarily       |     |      | individual, group, and organizational providers.                                |
|      | used by Medi-Cal beneficiaries and physical           |     |      | Review evidence the MHP considered and/or made changes                          |
|      | access for disabled beneficiaries?                    |     |      | to its network of providers based on the data and analysis of                   |
|      |   |     |      | identified factors.   |
| • Cl | R, title 42, section 438.206(b)(1)                    | OUT | OF C | OMPLIANCE:  |
|      | CR, title 9, chapter 11, section 1810.310 (a)(5)(B)   |     |      | HP is not maintaining and monitoring the network of providers that is supported |
|      | HP Contract, Exhibit A, Attachment I                  |     |      | ten agreements.   |
|      | //S/DHCS, section 1915(b) waiver                      |     | •    | HP, in establishing and maintaining the network, did not consider the factors   |
| " "  | no briod, decidir 10 ro(b) warrer                     |     |      | n 3a-e as per title 9 and title 42 regulations.                                 |
| 6.   | Does the MHP ensure that its providers offer          |     |      | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  |
|      | hours of operation during which services are          |     |      |   |
|      | provided to Medi-Cal beneficiaries that are no less   |     |      | ☐ Policies and Procedures #   |
|      | than the hours of operation offered to commercial     |     |      | Provider contract boilerplate   |
|      | beneficiaries or comparable to Medicaid fee-for-      |     |      |   |
|      | service, if the provider serves only Medicaid         |     |      | ☐ Program descriptions  |
|      | beneficiaries?  |     |      | Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team                                |
|      |   |     |      | Strict evidence decined appropriate by review team                              |
|      |   |     |      | GUIDANCE:   |
|      |   |     |      | There should be no language that discriminates against Medi-                    |
|      |   |     |      | Cal beneficiaries (e.g. appointment times limited to specific                   |
| l    |   |     | 1    |   |
|      |   |     |      | hours of the day/week).   |

(Rev. 09/09/2015) Page 53 FY 2015-2016 Protocol

| 7.   | Does the MHP ensure services are available to beneficiaries 24/7 when medically necessary?   |     |  | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  Policies and Procedures # Program description for 24/7 services available to beneficiaries  Provider contracts Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team  GUIDANCE:  This applies to network providers, not each individual provider.  |
|------|--|-----|--|---|
| • Mi | HP Contract, Exhibit A, Attachment I   | • T | The Moy writh The Moperation the Mop | HP is not maintaining and monitoring the network of providers that is supported then agreements.  HP does not have a mechanism to ensure that its providers offer hours of ion during which services are provided to Medi-Cal beneficiaries that are no less the hours of operation offered to commercial beneficiaries or comparable to aid fee-for-service, if the provider serves only Medicaid beneficiaries  HP does not ensure services are available to beneficiaries 24/7 when medically sary |
| 8.   | Regarding the County's Capacity to Implement<br>Mental Health Services Act (MHSA) Programs:  |     |  | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  Policies and Procedures #   |
| 8a.  | Does the County conduct an assessment of its capacity to implement the proposed programs/services?   |     |  | <ul><li>☐ Assessment report</li><li>☐ County demographic data</li></ul>   |
| 8b.  | Does the assessment include:  1) The strengths and limitations of the County and service providers that impact their ability to meet the needs of racially and ethnically diverse populations? |     |  | <ul> <li>□ County penetration rate data</li> <li>□ List of service providers meeting the needs of racially and ethnically diverse populations</li> <li>□ Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team</li> </ul>  |

(Rev. 09/09/2015) Page 54 FY 2015-2016 Protocol

|      |   |     |                  | _  |
|------|---|-----|------------------|--|
|      | 2) Bilingual proficiency in threshold   |     |                  | GUIDANCE:  |
|      | languages? 3) Percentages of diverse cultural,  |     |                  | Review evidence of assessment including required elements.   |
|      | racial/ethnic and linguistic groups   |     |                  |  |
|      | represented among direct service  |     |                  |  |
|      | providers, as compared to the percentage  |     |                  |  |
|      | of the total population needing services and  |     |                  |  |
|      | the total population being served?  |     |                  |  |
| • CC | CR, title 9, chapter 14, section 3650(5)  | OUT | OF C             | OMPLIANCE:   |
|      |   | • ( | County<br>progra | y did not provide an assessment of its capacity to implement proposed MHSA ms/services which meets title 9 requirements  |
| 9.   | SURVEY ONLY:  |     |                  | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:   |
|      | Regarding the MHP's implementation of the Katie A Settlement Agreement:   |     |                  | ☐ Policies and Procedures #  |
|      |   |     |                  | ☐ Katie A subclass identification data   |
| 9a.  | Does the MHP have a mechanism in place to ensure appropriate identification of Katie A  |     |                  | Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team   |
|      | subclass members?   |     |                  | GUIDANCE:  |
|      | Substitute The Market Substitute |     |                  | Review the MHP's criteria for determining and documenting  |
|      |   |     |                  | the identification of children/youth as in/out of the subclass   |
| 9b.  | SURVEY ONLY:  |     |                  | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:   |
|      | How does the MHP ensure active participation of   |     |                  | ☐ Policies and Procedures #  |
|      | children/youth and their families in Child and Family Team (CFT) meetings?  |     |                  | ☐ CFT meeting agendas and minutes, including list of meeting participants  |
|      |   |     |                  | Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team   |
|      |   |     |                  | <ul> <li>GUIDANCE:</li> <li>Review evidence of CFT meeting participation.</li> <li>Review communications and coordination among CFT members to verify participation of children/youth and their families.</li> </ul> |

| 9c.   | SURVEY ONLY:  |                                | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:   |
|---|---|--------------------------------|--|
|   | Does the MHP have a mechanism to assess its capacity to serve subclass members currently in the system? |                                | <ul><li>□ Policies and Procedures #</li><li>□ Subclass membership data</li></ul>   |
|   | and dysterm:  |                                | Capacity assessment data   |
|   |   |                                | Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team   |
|   |   |                                | <ul> <li>GUIDANCE:</li> <li>Review evidence the MHP is assessing its capacity to serve subclass members.</li> <li>Review evidence the MHP is on track to meet projections to provide services to identified subclass members.</li> </ul>   |
| 9d.   | SURVEY ONLY:  |                                | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:   |
|   | Does the MHP have a mechanism to ensure Katie   |                                | Policies and Procedures #  |
|   | A eligibility screening is incorporated into screening, referral and assessment processes?              |                                | Screening, referral and assessment tools   |
|   | g,  |                                | Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team   |
|   |   |                                | GUIDANCE:  • Review evidence the MHP has incorporated Katie A eligibility criteria into its screening, referral and assessment processes   |
| • Me  | ntie A Settlement Agreement<br>edi-Cal Manual for Intensive Care Coordination, Intensive                | • MH                           | F COMPLIANCE:  IP does not have a mechanism in place to ensure appropriate identification of Katie   |
| Home Based Services and Therapeutic Foster Care for Katie A<br>Subclass Members |   | MH     me     MH     me     MH | subclass members HP does not ensure active participation of children/youth and their families in CFT settings HP does not have a mechanism in place to assess its capacity to serve subclass embers HP does not have a mechanism to ensure Katie A eligibility criteria are incorporated to screening, referral and assessment processes |

## SECTION F INTERFACE WITH PHYSICAL HEALTH CARE

|     |  | FINDING |   |
|-----|--|---------|---|
|     | CRITERIA   | Y N     | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS   |
| 1.  | Regarding coordination of physical and mental health care:  A. Primary Care Physicians (PCPs) when no Medi-Cal Managed Care Plans are present  B. PCPs who do not belong to a Medi-Cal Managed Care Plan  C. Federally Qualified Health Centers, Indian Health Centers, or Rural Health Clinics  Does the MHP have a process in place to provide clinical consultation and training, including consultation and training on medications? |         | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  □ Policies and Procedures # □ Training agendas, minutes, sign-in sheets □ Training materials □ Calendar of training events □ Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team  GUIDANCE: • CCR, title 9, chapter 11, section 1810.415(a) states: The MHP must make clinical consultation and training, including consultation and training on medications, available to a beneficiary's health care provider for beneficiaries whose mental illness is not treated by the MHP or for beneficiaries who are receiving treatment from another health care provider in addition to receiving SMHS from the MHP. • MHP to describe the processes in place for providing consultation and training, including consulting and training on medications. • Review evidence that clinical consultation and trainings have been conducted during the triennial review period. |
| 1b. | Does the MHP have a process in place for the exchange of medical record information that maintains confidentiality in accordance with applicable State and federal laws and regulations?   |         | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  Policies and Procedures # Release of Information forms Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team  GUIDANCE: Review Confidentiality/HIPAA policies and forms  |

(Rev. 09/09/2015) Page 57 FY 2015-2016 Protocol

## SECTION F INTERFACE WITH PHYSICAL HEALTH CARE

| <ul> <li>CFR, title 42, Part 438, section 438.208</li> <li>DMH Information Notice No. 97-06</li> <li>CCR title 9, chapter 11, section 1810.415(a),(b),(c)</li> </ul> |  | <ul> <li>OUT OF COMPLIANCE:</li> <li>There are no processes in place for 1a-b.</li> </ul> |          |  |  |
|--|--|---|----------|--|--|
|  | CRITERIA   | FIND<br>Y   | ING<br>N | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS  |  |
| 2.<br>2a.  | Regarding Memorandums of Understanding (MOUs) with Medi-Cal Managed Care Plans (MCPs):  Does the MHP have MOUs in place with any Medi-Cal MCP that enrolls beneficiaries covered by the MHP? If not, does the MHP have documentation that a "good faith effort" was made to enter into an MOU? |   |          | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  Policies and Procedures #  MOU(s) with MCP(s)  Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team  GUIDANCE:  If MHP does not have MOU(s) in place, review evidence the MHP has made a good faith effort to enter into an MOU.   |  |
| 2b.  | Does the MHP have a process for resolving disputes between the MHP and MCPs that include a means for beneficiaries to receive medically necessary services, including SMHS and prescription drugs, while the dispute is being resolved?  |   |          | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  □ Policies and Procedures # □ MOU(s) with MCP(s) □ Evidence of notification to beneficiaries (e.g., templates, samples, etc.) □ Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team  GUIDANCE:  • MHP to describe process for resolving disputes between MHP and MCP.  • Review evidence of MOU language which ensures a means for beneficiaries to receive medically necessary services, including SMHS and prescription drugs, while the dispute is being resolved. |  |

(Rev. 09/09/2015) Page 58 FY 2015-2016 Protocol

## SECTION F INTERFACE WITH PHYSICAL HEALTH CARE

| 2c. | Does the MHP have a mechanism for monitoring and assessing the effectiveness of any MOU with a physical health care plan? |   |   | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  Policies and Procedures #  Monitoring tools  Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team  |
|-----|---|---|---|--|
|     |   |   |   | <ul> <li>GUIDANCE:</li> <li>MHP to describe process for monitoring and assessing the effectiveness of MOU(s) with physical health care plans.</li> </ul>   |
| 2d. | Does the MHP have a referral protocol between MHP and Medi-Cal Managed Care Plan to ensure continuity of care?            |   |   | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  Policies and Procedures # Referral protocol, forms and/or tools Referral tracking mechanism Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team  GUIDANCE:  MHP to describe referral procedures between MHP and MCP. MHP to describe mechanism for tracking referrals between MHP and MCP.  |
|     | CR, title 9, chapter 11, sections 1810.370 and 1810.415<br>HP Contract, Exhibit A, Attachment I                           | • | MHP of MHP of MHP of MHP of MHP of MHP of MOU | does not have MOU(s) with MCP(s) does not demonstrate evidence a good faith effort was made to enter into an MOU does not have a process for resolving disputes and/or a mechanism for ensuring es continue during dispute resolution does not have a mechanism for monitoring and assessing the effectiveness of any does not have a referral protocol to ensure continuity of care |

|     | CRITERIA  |     | DING<br>P N | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS  |
|-----|---|-----|-------------|--|
| 1.  | Does the MHP have an ongoing monitoring system in place that ensures all contracted individual, group, and organizational providers utilized by the MHP are in compliance with the documentation standards requirements as per title 9 regulations?  CFR, title 42, sections 455.400 - 455.470, 455.410 | OUT | T OF C      | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  Policies and Procedures # Chart audit/monitoring tools Chart documentation manual Chart documentation training materials Chart audit reports (including reports showing disallowances) Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team  GUIDANCE: Monitoring of contracted individual, group, and organizational providers may be by way of the contract/written agreements with these providers. Review the evidence of how the MHP monitors the individual, group and organizational providers to ensure documentation standards are being met. Review MHP monitoring activities of documentation standards. All types of providers (including ordering and referring providers) should be monitored for compliance with documentation standards.  OMPLIANCE: |
| • ( | CCR, title 9, chapter 11, sections 1810.110(a),<br>1810.435(a)(b)(4) and (c)(7), 1840.112, and 1840.314   |     | The M       | HP does not have a monitoring system in place. HP has no documentation of monitoring activities.   |

|     | CRITERIA   | <br>DING<br>P N | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS   |
|-----|--|-----------------|---|
| 2a. | Regarding the MHP's ongoing monitoring of county-owned and operated and contracted organizational providers:  Does the MHP have an ongoing monitoring system in place that ensures contracted organizational providers and county owned and operated providers are certified and recertified as per title 9 regulations? |                 | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  Policies and Procedures # MHP's Certification and Re-certification protocol Evidence of onsite certification/recertification of contracted organizational providers or county owned and operated self-certified providers  Mechanism to track certification and re-certification status of providers Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team  GUIDANCE: Review sample of provider certification and re-certification packets (3-5 randomly selected from provider list) to verify certification dates, fire clearance, contract, etc. Ask the MHP how it informs and/or trains providers about relevant changes in state and federal policies and regulations. |

|     | CRITERIA   | FII<br>Y  | NDING | _ | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS  |
|-----|--|---|-------|---|--|
| 2b. | Is there evidence the MHP's monitoring system is effective?                              |   |       |   | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  Evidence of onsite certification/recertification of contracted organizational providers or county owned and operated self-certified providers  DHCS' Overdue Provider Report  Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team  GUIDANCE:  DHCS to identify overdue re-certifications prior to the onsite review.  If DHCS identifies providers overdue for re-certification, the MHP may provide evidence it previously submitted transmittals to update provider status prior to onsite review date.  Compliance %:  Formula for calculating percentage: Total number of overdue providers/Total number of providers |
|     | CCR, title 9, chapter 11, section 1810.435 (d)I<br>MHP Contract, Exhibit A, Attachment I | <ul> <li>OUT OF COMPLIANCE:</li> <li>The MHP does not have a monitoring system in place.</li> <li>The MHP is not following certification and recertification requirements as per title 9 regulations.</li> <li>Re-certifications are overdue</li> </ul> |       |   |  |

|     | CRITERIA  | <br>DING<br>P N | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS   |
|-----|---|-----------------|---|
| 3.  | Regarding the MHP's network providers, does the MHP ensure the following:                                 |                 | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  Policies and Procedures #   |
| 3a. | Mechanisms have been established to ensure that network providers comply with timely access requirements? |                 | <ul><li>Evidence the MHP is monitoring timely access (e.g., tracking tools, database, etc.)</li><li>Monitoring process and tools</li></ul>  |
|     |   |                 | Provider contract boilerplate   |
|     |   |                 | <ul><li>☐ Timely access data</li><li>☐ Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team</li></ul>   |
|     |   |                 | <ul> <li>GUIDANCE:</li> <li>Review provider contracts to verify inclusion of the MHP's timeliness standards.</li> <li>Review timeliness data that indicates standards are being met (e.g. timeline for first appointment).</li> </ul> |

|                                 | CRITERIA   |   | DING<br>P N             | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS   |
|---------------------------------|--|---|-------------------------|---|
| 3b.                             | Corrective action is taken if there is a failure to comply with timely access requirements?  |   |                         | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  □ Policies and Procedures # □ Provider Contracts □ Evidence of corrective action plans □ Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team  GUIDANCE: • Review monitoring results and evidence of MHP action(s) when or if providers do not meet timeliness standards. • Review evidence that the MHP has policies and processes in place to take corrective action, when needed. • Review a random sample of corrective actions issued to providers during the triennial review period. |
| <ul><li>C0</li><li>Mi</li></ul> | FR, title 42, section 438.206(b)(1) CR, title 9, chapter 11, section 1810.310 (a)(5)(B) HP Contract, Exhibit A, Attachment I MS/DHCS, section 1915(b) waiver | • | The Monitten The Monito | OMPLIANCE:  HP is not maintaining and monitoring the network of providers that is supported by agreements.  HP has not established timely access standards.  HP's provider contracts do not include language about timely access standards or ring mechanisms.  HP does not take corrective action if there is a failure to comply with timely access   |

|           | CRITERIA   | FINE<br>Y | DING<br>N | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS   |
|-----------|--|-----------|-----------|---|
| 1.        | Does the MHP have a mandatory compliance plan that is designed to guard against fraud and abuse as required in CFR, title 42, subpart E, section 438.608?  |           |           | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  Policies and Procedures # Compliance Plan Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team  GUIDANCE: Review MHP Compliance Plan  |
| 2.<br>2a. | Regarding the MHP's procedures designed to guard against fraud, waste, and abuse:  Does the MHP have written policies, procedures, and standards of conduct that articulate the organization's commitment to comply with all applicable federal and State standards? |           |           | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  Policies and Procedures # Compliance Plan Standards of Conduct Acknowledgement form signed by employees Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team  GUIDANCE: Review MHP written administrative and management policies and procedures, and standards of conduct. |

|     | CRITERIA   | FINE<br>Y | DING<br>N | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS  |
|-----|--|-----------|-----------|--|
| 2b. | Did the MHP designate a compliance officer that is accountable to senior management? |           |           | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  Policies and Procedures # Organizational Chart  Duty Statement of Compliance Officer Compliance Plan Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team  GUIDANCE: Review evidence the compliance officer is accountable to senior management.           |
| 2c. | Does the MHP have a compliance committee that is accountable to senior management?   |           |           | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  Policies and Procedures # Compliance Plan Organizational Chart Compliance Committee agendas, minutes, roster Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team  GUIDANCE: Review evidence the compliance committee is accountable to senior management. |

|     | CRITERIA  | FINE | DING<br>N | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS   |
|-----|---|------|-----------|---|
| 2d. | Is there evidence of effective training and education for the compliance officer?                     |      |           | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  □ Policies and Procedures # □ Training certificates (other evidence of completed training) □ Duty Statement of Compliance Officer □ Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team  GUIDANCE: • Review evidence of specialized training and education for compliance officer. • Review evidence of policies identifying training and educational requirements for the compliance officer. |
| 2e. | Is there evidence of effective training and education for the MHP's employees and contract providers? |      |           | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  Policies and Procedures # Compliance Plan  Tracking mechanism to ensure all staff and contractors complete training  Sample training curricula  Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team  GUIDANCE: Review evidence of compliance training and education for employees. Review evidence of policies identifying training and educational requirements for the compliance officer.   |

|     | CRITERIA  | FINE<br>Y | DING<br>N | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS  |
|-----|---|-----------|-----------|--|
| 2f. | Does the MHP ensure effective lines of communication between the compliance officer and the organization's employees and/or contract providers? |           |           | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  Policies and Procedures # Compliance Plan Signage/Notices to staff Compliance training materials for staff Compliance Hotline Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team  GUIDANCE: Review examples of communication (i.e., newsletters; memos, postings, etc.). |
| 2g. | Does the MHP ensure enforcement of the standards through well publicized disciplinary guidelines?   |           |           | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  Policies and Procedures # Compliance Plan Employee Acknowledgement of Receipt Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team  GUIDANCE: Review evidence of disciplinary guidelines and how MHP will enforce those standards.   |

| 2h.  | CRITERIA  Does the MHP have a provision for internal monitoring and auditing of fraud, waste, and abuse?  | FINE | DING<br>N | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS  SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  Policies and Procedures #  Compliance Plan  Monitoring and auditing tools  Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team  |
|------|---|------|-----------|---|
| 2i.  | Does the MHP have a provision for a prompt response to detected offenses and for development of corrective action initiatives relating to the MHP's Contract? |      |           | GUIDANCE:  Review monitoring, auditing, policies and procedures.  SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  Policies and Procedures #  Compliance Plan  Evidence of corrective actions implemented  Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team  |
| 438. | title 42, sections 438.10, 438.604, 438.606, 438.608 and 610<br>Contract, Exhibit A, Attachment I   | •    | The C     | GUIDANCE:     Review policies and procedures to determine how the MHP defines "prompt response."     Review evidence of prompt response for detected offenses and corrective action plans.  COMPLIANCE: Dounty/MHP does not have written P&Ps on each of the required elements. HP does not meet the required Program Integrity Requirements. |

|           | CRITERIA  | FINE | DING<br>N | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS  |
|-----------|---|------|-----------|--|
| 3.<br>3a. | Regarding verification of services:  Does the MHP have a method to verify whether services reimbursed by Medicaid were actually furnished to the beneficiaries? |      |           | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  Policies and Procedures # Tools for verifying services were furnished Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team  GUIDANCE: Refer to MHP Contract, Program Integrity Requirements.   |
| 3b.       | When unable to verify services were furnished to beneficiaries, does the MHP have a mechanism in place to ensure appropriate actions are taken?                 |      |           | Pursuant to title 42, CFR, section 455.1(a)(2), the Contractor must have a way to verify with beneficiaries that services were actually provided. "Under authority of the sections 1902 (a)(4), 1903 (i)(2) and 1909 of the Social Security Act, Subpart A provides State plan requirements for the identification, investigation and referral of suspected fraud and abuse cases. In addition, the subpart requires the state have a method to verify whether services reimbursed by Medicaid were actually furnished to the beneficiaries.  MHP to provide documented evidence regarding their verification method, date of implementation, frequency, and sample size in accordance with this requirement.  MHP to provide documented evidence regarding their findings and actions taken.  Review tracking documents or logs.  MHP to provide documented evidence that services reimbursed by Medicaid/Medi-Cal that were not received by the beneficiary were recouped.  MHP may determine service verification method. Examples of methodologies may include, but are not limited to:  Sending Evidence of Service letters to beneficiaries  Sign-in/sign-out sheets for group services  Call scripts/logs |

(Rev. 09/09/2015) Page 70 FY 2015-2016 Protocol

| <ul> <li>CRITERIA</li> <li>CFR, title 42, sections 455.1(a)(2) and 455.20 (a)</li> <li>MHP Contract, Exhibit A, Attachment I, Program Integrity Requirements</li> <li>Social Security Act, Subpart A, Sections 1902(a)(4), 1903(i)(2)</li> </ul>   | <ul><li>The M receip</li><li>MHP i</li></ul>                   | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS  COMPLIANCE:  HP does not have policies/procedures in place to verify and track beneficiary tof services s not in compliance with regulatory and contractual requirements regarding   |
|--|--|---|
| and 1909   | <ul><li>No ap<br/>Medic</li><li>Impler</li><li>The M</li></ul> | am Integrity Requirements, Service Verification. propriate actions taken by MHP upon discovery that services reimbursed by aid/Medi-Cal were actually furnished to the recipients. mentation of needed system changes not taking place. IHP does not have a method to verify with the beneficiary that services reimbursed dicaid/Medi-Cal were received.   |
| 4. Does the MHP ensure that it collects the disclosure of ownership, control, and relationship information from its providers, managing employees, including agents and managing agents, as required in CFR, title 42, sections 455.101 and 455.104 and in the MHP Contract, Program Integrity Requirements? |  | Policies and Procedures #  Provider contracts with reporting requirements  Monitoring and tracking tools  Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team  GUIDANCE:  MHP must collect disclosures from all providers regardless of for-profit or non-profit status.  In the event that, in the future, any person obtains an interest of 5% or more of any mortgage, deed of trust, note or other obligation secured by Contractor, and that interest equals at least 5% of Contractor's property or assets, then the Contractor will make the disclosures set forth in i subsection 2(a).  Review MHP verification of disclosure of ownership, control and relationship information from individual providers, agents, and managing employees.  The MHP is responsible to monitor and obtain the required information from their contracted providers. |

| • MH | CRITERIA R, title 42, sections 455.101 and 455.104 IP Contract, Exhibit A, Attachment I, Program Integrity quirements   | No mar owr      MH requ |   |
|------|---|-------------------------|---|
| 5.   | Regarding monitoring and verification of provider eligibility:  |                         | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  Policies and Procedures #   |
| 5a.  | Does the MHP ensure the following requirements are met:  1) Is there evidence that the MHP has a process in place to verify new and current (prior to contracting with and periodically) providers, including contractors, are not on the Office of Inspector General List of Excluded Individuals/Entities (LEIE)?  2) Is there evidence that the MHP has a process in place to verify new and current (prior to contracting with and periodically) providers and contractors are not on the DHCS Medi-Cal List of Suspended or Ineligible Providers?  3) SURVEY ONLY: |                         | <ul> <li>□ Reports of database queries</li> <li>□ Tracking logs</li> <li>□ Contract with vendor to provide service</li> <li>□ Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team</li> <li>GUIDANCE:</li> <li>• The MHP does not employ or contract with providers excluded from participation in Federal health care programs under CFR, title 42, section 1128 or section 1128A of the Social Security Act or CFR, title 42, section 438.214.</li> <li>• Verify the List of Excluded Individuals/Entities:         <ul> <li>http://oig.hhs.gov/exclusions/exclusions_list.asp_ and https://files.medi-cal.ca.gov/pubsdoco/SandlLanding.asp</li> <li>• Verify frequency of monitoring efforts.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> |
|      | Is there evidence that the MHP has a process in place to verify new and current (prior to contracting with and periodically) providers and contractors are not in the Social Security Administration's Death Master File?   |                         | <ul> <li>Per 42 CFR Section 455.436, MHPs are required to check the LEIE and Excluded Parties List System databases no less frequently than monthly.</li> <li>Review the MHP written policies and procedures to ensure that the MHP is not employing or contracting with excluded</li> </ul>  |

|     | CRITERIA   | FINE | DING<br>N | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS  |
|-----|--|------|-----------|--|
|     | 4) SURVEY ONLY: Is there evidence that the MHP has a process in place to verify the accuracy of new and current (prior to contracting with and periodically) providers and contractors in the National Plan and Provider Enumeration System (NPPES)? |      |           | <ul> <li>providers and contractors.</li> <li>Verify that the MHP is following written P&amp;Ps.</li> </ul>   |
|     | 4) SURVEY ONLY: Is there evidence the MHP has a process in place to verify new and current (prior to contracting with and periodically) providers and contractors are not in the Excluded Parties List System (EPLS)?                                |      |           |  |
| 5b. | When an excluded provider/contractor is identified by the MHP, does the MHP have a mechanism in place to take appropriate corrective action?   |      |           | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  □ Policies and Procedures # □ Evidence of corrective action measures □ Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team  GUIDANCE: • Review policies and procedures regarding the identification of an excluded provider and action(s) taken by the MHP. Action(s) taken must include: ○ The immediate cessation of services on behalf of the MHP. ○ Prevention of the future filing of claims for services rendered by the excluded provider. |

| CRITERIA  CFR, title 42, sections 438.214(d), 438.610, 455.400-455.470, 455.436(b)  DMH Letter No. 10-05  MHP Contract, Exhibit A, Attachment I, Program Integrity Requirements | <ul><li>There contra</li><li>There</li></ul> | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS  COMPLIANCE:  is no evidence that the MHP verifies that its new and current providers and actors are not on the Excluded Provider List(s).  is no evidence that the MHP has taken immediate action, as required in Title 42, ponse to identifying a provider was on the Excluded Provider List(s).   |
|---|--|--|
| 6. SURVEY ONLY:  Does the MHP confirm that providers' licenses have not expired and there are no current limitations on the providers' licenses?                                |  | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  □ Policies and Procedures # □ Tracking logs □ Verification reports □ Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team  GUIDANCE: • MHP to verify that providers are licensed in accordance with state law. • Review evidence the MHP confirms eligibility of registered providers. • Review the MHPs policies and procedures for submitting a Professional Licensing Waiver (PLW) request to DHCS. |
| CFR, title 42, section 455.412  | • MHP  | does not have a mechanism to confirm providers' licenses have not expired does not have a mechanism to confirm providers' licenses do not have current tions   |

|           | CRITERIA   | FINI<br>Y  | DING<br>N | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS  |
|-----------|--|------------|-----------|--|
| 1.<br>1a. | Regarding the MHP's Quality Management (QM) Program:  Does the MHP have a written description of the QM Program which clearly defines the QM Program's structure and elements, assigns responsibility to appropriate individuals, and adopts or establishes quantitative measures to assess performance and to identify and prioritize |            |           | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  Policies and Procedures #  QM Program Description Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team  GUIDANCE:  Does the QM Program description include all required  |
|           | area(s) for improvement?   |            |           | <ul> <li>elements?</li> <li>QM Program is inclusive of Quality Improvement (QI)</li> <li>QI Program description and work plan may be offered as evidence of compliance for the QM program requirements if all required elements are included.</li> </ul> |
| 1b.       | Is there evidence the MHP's QM Program is evaluated annually and updated as necessary?   |            |           | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  Policies and Procedures # Annual QM Program Evaluation Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team  GUIDANCE: • Review evidence of annual QM Program evaluations.   |
| • 42      | CR, title 9, § 1810.440(a)(6)<br>C.F.R. § 438.240(e)<br>HP Contract, Exhibit A, Attachment I   | MHP requir |           | COMPLIANCE:  Idoes not have a written description of the QM program which meets contractual ements  Idoes not evaluate QM program annually   |

|     | CRITERIA  | FINE | DING<br>N | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS   |
|-----|---|------|-----------|---|
| 2.  | Regarding mechanisms to assess beneficiary/   |      |           | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  |
| 2a. | family satisfaction:  |      |           | Policies and Procedures #   |
|     | Does the MHP survey beneficiary/family satisfaction with the Contractor's services at |      |           | ☐ Beneficiary/Family Satisfaction Survey Sample   |
|     | least annually?   |      |           | ☐ Survey Results  |
|     |   |      |           | Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team  |
|     |   |      |           | GUIDANCE:   |
|     |   |      |           | <ul> <li>Review evidence surveys were conducted in all threshold languages.</li> </ul>  |
|     |   |      |           | <ul> <li>Activities related to beneficiary satisfaction can include<br/>surveys, outreach, education, focus groups, and other related</li> </ul>            |
|     |   |      |           | activities.   |
|     |   |      |           | <ul> <li>Refer to current External Quality Review Organization<br/>(EQRO) report regarding consumer satisfaction survey, if<br/>applicable.</li> </ul>      |
| 2b. | Does the MHP evaluate beneficiary grievances,   |      |           | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  |
|     | appeals, and fair hearings at least annually?   |      |           | Policies and Procedures #   |
|     |   |      |           | ☐ QI agenda and minutes   |
|     |   |      |           | Analysis of grievances, appeals, and fair hearings  |
|     |   |      |           | Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team  |
|     |   |      |           | GUIDANCE:   |
|     |   |      |           | Review evidence the MHP is evaluating beneficiary grievances, appeals, fair hearings to determine if there are trends or areas needing quality improvement. |

| CRITERIA |   | FINE   | DING<br>N | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS   |
|----------|---|--|-----------|---|
| 2c.      | Does the MHP evaluate requests to change persons providing services at least annually?      |  |           | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  Policies and Procedures # QI agendas and minutes Analysis of change of provider requests Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team  GUIDANCE: Review evidence the MHP is evaluating change of provider requests to determine if there are trends or areas needing  |
| 2d.      | Does the MHP inform providers of the results of beneficiary/family satisfaction activities? |  |           | quality improvement.  SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  Policies and Procedures #  Sample(s) notification(s) to providers  Beneficiary/family satisfaction reports  Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team  GUIDANCE:  Review MHP's mechanism for informing providers of results.  Does the MHP have a procedure for addressing any negative survey results with providers? |
| • MI     | HP Contract, Exhibit A, Attachment I  | <ul> <li>OUT OF COMPLIANCE:</li> <li>MHP does not have a process for surveying beneficiaries and/or family members about their satisfaction with care</li> <li>MHP does not evaluate grievances, appeals, or fair hearings at least annually</li> <li>MHP does not evaluate requests to change persons providing services at least annually</li> <li>MHP does not inform providers of the results of beneficiary/family satisfaction activities</li> </ul> |           |   |

|     | CRITERIA  | FINE | DING<br>N | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS  |
|-----|---|------|-----------|--|
| 3.  | Regarding monitoring of medication practices:   |      |           | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:   |
| 3a. | Does the MHP have mechanisms to monitor the safety and effectiveness of medication practices at least annually?   |      |           | <ul> <li>☐ Policies and Procedures #</li></ul>   |
| 3b. | SURVEY ONLY:  |      |           | <ul> <li>The monitoring mechanism must be under the supervision of<br/>a person licensed to prescribe or dispense prescription drugs.</li> <li>SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:</li> </ul>   |
| JD. | Does the MHP have a policy and procedure in place regarding monitoring of psychotropic medication use, including monitoring psychotropic medication use for children/youth? |      |           | Policies and Procedures #  Monitoring tools  Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team  |
|     |   |      |           | <ul> <li>GUIDANCE:</li> <li>Review the policy to determine if it specifically addresses monitoring psychotropic medication use for children / youth</li> <li>Review evidence of psychotropic medication monitoring by the MHP</li> </ul> |

|     | CRITERIA  | FIND | DING<br>N | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS   |
|-----|---|------|-----------|---|
| 3c. | SURVEY ONLY: If a quality of care concern or an outlier is identified related to psychotropic medication use is there evidence that the MHP took appropriate action to address the concern? |      |           | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  Policies and Procedures #  Evidence of corrective actions  Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team  GUIDANCE:  Review evidence of corrective actions taken to address quality of care concerns related to psychotropic medication use.   |
| 4.  | Does the MHP have mechanisms to address meaningful clinical issues affecting beneficiaries system-wide?   |      |           | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  Policies and Procedures # QM/QI Work Plan QIC agendas and/or minutes Clinical Performance Improvement Projects (PIPs)/(EQRO) Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team  GUIDANCE: MHP to describe mechanisms to address meaningful clinical issues affecting beneficiaries system wide. MHP to describe clinical PIPs during triennial period. |

|                  | CRITERIA  | FINE | DING<br>N                             | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS  |
|------------------|---|------|---------------------------------------|--|
| 5.               | Does the MHP have mechanisms to monitor appropriate and timely intervention of occurrences that raise quality of care concerns and take appropriate follow-up action when such an occurrence is identified?   |      |                                       | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  Policies and Procedures #  QM/QI Work Plan  QIC agendas and/or minutes  Monitoring tools  Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team  GUIDANCE:  MHP to describe mechanisms to monitor quality of care occurrences and appropriate follow up action. |
| • M              | HP Contract, Exhibit A, Attachment I  | • I  | MHP donatic<br>MHP donatic<br>menefic | does not have mechanisms to monitor the safety and effectiveness of medication less at least annually does not have mechanisms to address significant clinical issues affecting ciaries does not have mechanisms to address occurrences that raise quality of care rns               |
| 6.<br>6a.<br>6b. | Regarding the QM Work Plan:  Does the MHP have a QM Work Plan covering the current contract cycle with documented annual evaluations and documented revisions as needed?  Does the QM Work Plan include evidence of the monitoring activities including, but not limited to, review of beneficiary grievances, appeals, expedited appeals, fair hearings, expedited fair hearings, provider appeals, and clinical records review? |      |                                       | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  Policies and Procedures #  QM/QI Work Plan  QM/QI Work Plan evaluations  QIC agendas and/or minutes  Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team  |

(Rev. 09/09/2015) Page 80 FY 2015-2016 Protocol

|     | CRITERIA   | FINE | DING<br>N | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS  |
|-----|--|------|-----------|--|
| 6c. | Does the QM Work Plan include evidence that QM activities, including performance improvement projects, have contributed to meaningful improvement in clinical care and beneficiary service?  |      |           | <ul> <li>GUIDANCE:</li> <li>Review the MHP's QM Work Plan for required contractual elements.</li> <li>Review the QI Evaluations for goals, completed goals, goals continued from year to year, and new goals each year.</li> </ul> |
| 6d. | Does the QM work plan include a description of completed and in-process QM activities, including:  1) Monitoring efforts for previously identified issues, including tracking issues over time?  2) Objectives, scope, and planned QM activities for each year?  3) Targeted areas of improvement or change in service delivery or program design?                           |      |           |  |
| 6e. | Does the QM work plan include a description of mechanisms the Contractor has implemented to assess the accessibility of services within its service delivery area, including goals for:  1) Responsiveness for the Contractor's 24-hour toll-free telephone number?  2) Timeliness for scheduling of routine appointments?  3) Timeliness of services for urgent conditions? |      |           | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  Policies and Procedures #  QM/QI Work Plan  QIC agendas and/or minutes  Monitoring tools  Test Call procedures  Provider contracts  Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team                     |

(Rev. 09/09/2015) Page 81 FY 2015-2016 Protocol

|  | CRITERIA  | FINE | DING<br>N                  | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS  |
|--|---|------|----------------------------|--|
|  | 4) Access to after-hours care?  |      |                            | <ul> <li>GUIDANCE:</li> <li>MHP to have standards/goals for accessibility of services and mechanisms to assess services within its service delivery area.</li> </ul>   |
| 6f.  | Does the QM work plan include evidence of compliance with the requirements for cultural competence and linguistic competence?   |      |                            | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  □ Policies and Procedures # □ QM/QI Work Plan, □ QIC agendas and/or minutes □ Cultural Competence Plan □ Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team  GUIDANCE: • Review evidence the MHP has a current Cultural Competence Plan. • Review evidence the QM Work Plan includes goals and activities related to cultural and linguistic competence. |
| <ul> <li>DN</li> <li>19</li> <li>23</li> <li>CF</li> <li>43</li> <li>MI</li> </ul> | CR, title 9, chapter 11, section 1810.440(a)(5) MH Information Notice No. 10-17, Enclosures, Pages 18 & , and DMH Information Notice No. 10-02, Enclosure, Page FR, title 42, Part 438-Managed Care, sections 438.204, 8.240 and 438.358. HP Contract, Exhibit A, Attachment I CR, tit. 9, § 1810.410 | • T  | The MI<br>equire<br>The wo | OMPLIANCE:  HP does not have a QI Work Plan that meets regulatory and contractual ements.  ork plan does not evaluate the effectiveness of the QI program and show how QI es have contributed to improvement in clinical care and beneficiary service.   |
| 7.<br>7a.  | Regarding the QI Program:  Is the QIC involved in or overseeing the following QI activities:  1) Recommending policy decisions?   |      |                            | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  Policies and Procedures #  QIC agendas and minutes  Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team   |

(Rev. 09/09/2015) Page 82 FY 2015-2016 Protocol

| CRITERIA |  | FINE                     | DING<br>N | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS  |
|----------|--|--------------------------|-----------|--|
|          | 2) Reviewing and evaluating the results of QI activities?  |                          |           | GUIDANCE:  |
|          | 3) Instituting needed QI actions?  |                          |           | <ul> <li>Review QIC meeting minutes regarding decisions and actions taken.</li> </ul>  |
|          | 4) Ensuring follow-up of QI processes?   |                          |           |  |
|          | 5) Documenting QI committee meeting minutes?   |                          |           |  |
| 7b.      | Does the MHP QI program include active participation by the MHP's practitioners and providers, as well as beneficiaries and family members, in the planning, design and execution of the QI program? |                          |           | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  Policies and Procedures # QIC roster QI agenda and minutes Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team  GUIDANCE: Review evidence of the involvement of providers, beneficiaries, and family members in planning, design, and execution of the QI program, including evaluating data. |
| • MF     | HP Contract, Exhibit A, Attachment I   | MHP o     MHP o     MHPs |           | OMPLIANCE:  oes not provide evidence the QIC is involved in or overseeing QI activities oes not provide evidence the QI program includes active participation of the practitioners and providers, as well as beneficiaries and family members in the ng, design, and execution of the QI program                     |

|           | CRITERIA  | FINE      | DING<br>N | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS   |
|-----------|---|-----------|-----------|---|
| 8.<br>8a. | Regarding QI activities in accordance with the MHP contract:  Does the MHP collect and analyze data to measure against the goals or prioritized areas of improvement that have been identified? |           |           | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  □ Policies and Procedures # □ Data to measure against identified goals □ QI agenda and minutes □ Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team  GUIDANCE:  • MHP should have baseline statistics with goals for the year, as well as, annual evaluations and updates.  Position data would be managing identified goals.   |
| 8b.       | Does the MHP obtain input from providers, beneficiaries and family members in identifying barriers to delivery of clinical care and administrative services?                                    | OUT       | OFC       | <ul> <li>Review data used to measure against identified goals.</li> <li>SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:         <ul> <li>Policies and Procedures #</li> <li>QI agenda and minutes</li> <li>Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team</li> </ul> </li> <li>GUIDANCE:         <ul> <li>MHP to describe mechanisms for obtaining input from providers, beneficiaries and family members in identifying barriers to delivery of clinical care and administrative services.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> |
|           | CR title 9, section 1819.440(a)(5)<br>HP Contract, Exhibit A, Attachment I  | OUT OF CO |           | OMPLIANCE: loes not collect and/or analyze data to measure against goals and priorities   |

|     | CRITERIA   | FINI<br>Y | DING<br>N | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS   |
|-----|--|-----------|-----------|---|
| 9.  | Regarding the Utilization Management Program:                                  |           |           | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  |
| 9a. | Does the MHP's Utilization Management (UM)                                     |           |           | Policies and Procedures #   |
|     | Program evaluate medical necessity, appropriateness and efficiency of services |           |           | UM evaluation tools   |
|     | provided to Medi-Cal beneficiaries prospectively                               |           |           | ☐ Chart audit tools   |
|     | or retrospectively?  |           |           | Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team  |
|     |  |           |           | GUIDANCE:   |
|     |  |           |           | MHP to describe UM process for evaluating medical necessity   |
|     |  |           |           | MHP to describe chart audit sampling methodology.   |
|     |  |           |           | MHP to describe process for disallowing claims for services   |
|     |  | 0117      | 05.0      | not meeting medical necessity criteria.   |
| • M | HP Contract, Exhibit A, Attachment I   |           |           | OMPLIANCE:  |
|     |  |           |           | loes not evaluate medical necessity, appropriateness and efficiency of services ed to Medi-Cal beneficiaries prospectively or retrospectively |
|     |  | •         | MHP d     | loes not recoup funds for services not meeting medical necessity criteria   |

|           | CRITERIA  | DING<br>P N | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS   |
|-----------|---|-------------|---|
| 1.<br>1a. | Regarding the WIC 5847 requirement for county mental health programs to prepare and submit a three-year program and expenditure plan and annual update:  Is there evidence that the County circulated a draft plan and annual update for public review and comment for at least 30 calendar days? |             | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  Policies and Procedures #  Evidence of circulation methods and posting  Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team  GUIDANCE:  The County must provide evidence of circulation methods, posting date, and 30-day public comment period.  The County must provide evidence of a public hearing at the close of the 30-day comment period.  The MHP must provide documentation of where and when the draft plan was posted (i.e., a copy of a website page with the date of the posting, copy of the public notice and evidence of the 30-day public comment period). |

|     | CRITERIA   |     | DING<br>P N      | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS  |
|-----|--|-----|------------------|--|
| 1b. | Is there evidence that the mental health board conducts a public hearing at the close of the 30-day public comment period? |     |                  | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  Policies and Procedures #  Board agenda and minutes  Written summary and analysis of any substantive recommendations  Written description of substantive changes made to the proposed Three-Year Program and Expenditure Plan or Annual Update  Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team  GUIDANCE:  |
| • W | IC 5847<br>IC 5848(a)<br>IC 5848(b)<br>CR, title 9, section 3315 and section 3200.270                                      | • ( | County<br>County | <ul> <li>The MHP must provide a summary and analysis of any substantive recommendations.</li> <li>The MHP must provide a description of any substantive changes made to the Plan or annual update.</li> <li>DMPLIANCE:         <ul> <li>has not completed a 30 day comment period.</li> <li>has not conducted a hearing at the end of the comment period.</li> <li>older process did not meet Title 9 requirements.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> |

|             | CRITERIA  |         | DING<br>P N  | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS   |
|-------------|---|---------|--|---|
| 2a. 2b. 2c. | Regarding the Community Program Planning Process (CPPP):  Does the County ensure that stakeholders have the opportunity to participate?  Does the County ensure that stakeholders participating in the CPPP reflect the diversity of demographics of the County, including but not limited to, geographic location, age, gender, and race/ethnicity?  Does the County conduct outreach to clients with Serious Mental Illness (SMI) and/or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED), and their family members, to ensure the opportunity to participate in the CPPP? |         |  | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  □ Policies and Procedures # □ Sign-in sheets/participation lists □ Evidence of stakeholder notification (e.g., advertisements, postings, etc.) □ Evidence of outreach to clients with SMI, SED, and their families □ County demographic data □ Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team  GUIDANCE: • Stakeholder participation shall include representatives of unserved and/or underserved populations and family members of unserved and/or underserved populations. • The CPPP shall, at a minimum, include: □ Involvement of clients with SMI or SED and their family members □ Participation of stakeholders, as defined in CCR, title 9, chapter 11, section 3200.210 |
| 3.          | CR, title 9, chapter 14, sections 3200.270, 3300, 3310, and 315 county Performance Contract   | • N iii | DMPLIANCE:  d not provide evidence that clients with SMI or SED and their families were d in the CPPP d not provide evidence that stakeholder participation included representatives of ed and/or underserved populations d not provide evidence that stakeholder participation reflected the diversity of the eaphics of the County |   |

|           | CRITERIA  |   | DING<br>P N | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS   |
|-----------|---|---|-------------|---|
| 3.<br>3a. | Regarding Community Services and Supports requirements:  Has the County established peer support and family education support services or expanded these services to meet the needs and preferences of clients and/or family members? |   |             | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  Policies and Procedures #  Evidence of peer support and family education services (e.g., program descriptions, etc.)  Evidence of outreach to diverse racial/ethnic, cultural, and linguistic populations   |
| 3b.       | Has the County conducted outreach to provide equal opportunities for peers who share the diverse racial/ethnic, cultural, and linguistic characteristics of the individuals/clients served?   |   |             | County demographic data of client population  Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team  GUIDANCE:  County to provide evidence that it has established peer support and family education support services.  Ask County to describe outreach efforts to reach diverse populations.  |
| • C0      | CR, title 9, chapter 14, section 3610   | <ul><li>County I</li><li>County I</li><li>County I</li><li>equal op</li></ul> |             | DMPLIANCE: has not established peer support and family education support services has not expanded peer support and/or family education support services does not provide evidence it conducted outreach to diverse population to ensure pportunities for peers who share diverse racial/ethnic, cultural and linguistic eristics of clients served |

|     | CRITERIA  | DING<br>P N | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS   |
|-----|---|-------------|---|
| 4.  | Regarding Full Service Partnerships (FSP):  |             | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  |
| 4a. | Does the County designate a Personal Service Coordinator (PSC)/Case Manager for each client, and when appropriate the client's family, to be the single point of responsibility for that client/family? |             | <ul> <li>□ Policies and Procedures #</li> <li>□ Evidence a PSC/Case Manager is assigned to FSP clients</li> <li>□ Mechanism to track assignment of PSC/Case Manager to FSP clients</li> <li>□ Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team</li> </ul>   |
|     |   |             | <ul> <li>GUIDANCE:</li> <li>Review evidence County designated PSC/Case Manager for a sample of FSP clients and their families.</li> <li>How does the County track FSP clients and their families?</li> <li>What is the process for assigning a PSC/Case Manager to a client/family?</li> </ul>  |
| 4b. | Does the County ensure the PSC/Case Manager is responsible for developing an Individual Services and Supports Plan (ISSP) with the client and, when appropriate, the client's family?                   |             | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  Policies and Procedures #  ISSP sample  Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team  GUIDANCE:  Review evidence the PSC/Case Manager is responsible for developing an ISSP with the client and, when appropriate, the client's family.  Review a sample of ISSP's developed for FSP clients.  Review a sample of ISSP's developed for family members of FSP clients. |

|     | CRITERIA  | DING<br>P N | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS   |
|-----|---|-------------|---|
| 4c. | Does the County ensure the PSC/Case Manager is culturally and linguistically competent or, at a minimum, is educated and trained in linguistic and cultural competence and has knowledge of available resources within the client/family's racial/ethnic community? |             | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  □ Policies and Procedures # □ List of PSC/Case Managers assigned to FSP Clients □ Duty statement/job description for PSC/Case Manager □ Evidence of training and educational opportunities for PSC/Case Managers in linguistic and cultural competence □ List of available community resources □ Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team  GUIDANCE: • County to provide documentation of PSC/Case Manager's education and/or training in linguistic and cultural competence. |
| 4d. | Does the County ensure that a PSC/Case Manager or other qualified individual known to the client/family is available to respond to the client/family 24 hours a day, 7 days a week to provide after-hours interventions?  |             | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  ☐ Policies and Procedures # ☐ Duty statement/job description for PSC/Case Manager ☐ Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team  GUIDANCE:  • Small counties may meet this requirement through use of peers or community partners, such as community based organizations, known to the client/family.  |

(Rev. 09/09/2015) Page 91 FY 2015-2016 Protocol

|           | CRITERIA  |   | DING<br>P N | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS  |
|-----------|---|---|-------------|--|
| 4e.       | Does the County provide FSP services to all age groups (i.e., older adults, adults, transition-age youth, and children/youth)?  |   |             | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  □ Policies and Procedures # □ Evidence FSP services provided to all age groups □ Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team  GUIDANCE: • County to provide evidence FSP services provided to all age groups.   |
| • C0      | CR, title 9, chapter 14, section 3620   | <ul><li>County has</li><li>County does equal opport</li></ul> |             | DMPLIANCE: has not established peer support and family education support services has not expanded peer support and/or family education support services does not provide evidence it conducted outreach to diverse population to ensure pportunities for peers who share diverse racial/ethnic, cultural and linguistic eristics of clients served  |
| 5.<br>5a. | Regarding the County's MHSA Issue Resolution Process:  Does the County have in place an Issue Resolution Process to resolve issues related to the MHSA community planning process, consistency between approved MHSA plans and program implementation, and the provision of MHSA funded mental health services? |   |             | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  □ Policies and Procedures # □ Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team  GUIDANCE:  • County Performance Contracts require that Counties adopt an Issue Resolution Process in order to resolve issues related to the MHSA community planning process, consistency between approved MHSA plans and program implementation, and the provision of MHSA funded mental health services |

|     | CRITERIA   |           | NDIN<br>P |   | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS  |
|-----|--|-----------|-----------|---|--|
| 5b. | Does the County's Issue Resolution Log contain the following information:  1) Dates the issues were received?  2) A brief description of the issues? |           |           |   | SUGGESTED DOCUMENTATION:  Policies and Procedures #  MHSA Issue Resolution Log  Other evidence deemed appropriate by review team   |
|     | 3) Final resolution outcomes of those issues?  |           |           |   | <ul> <li>GUIDANCE:</li> <li>Counties are required to keep and update an Issue Resolution<br/>Log to handle client disputes and complaints.</li> </ul>  |
|     | 4) The date the final issue resolution was reached?  |           |           |   | <ul> <li>The Issue Resolution Log may reflect all grievances, regardless of program type; MHSA issues should be noted.</li> <li>Tracking log must include dates, brief description of the issue(s), final resolution.</li> <li>Compliance %:         <ul> <li>Formula for calculating percentage: Total number of MHSA Issues logged that comply with contractual requirements/Total number of MHSA Issues received</li> </ul> </li> </ul> |
|     | C 5650<br>C 5651   | <u>OL</u> |           |   | DMPLIANCE: does not keep an Issue Resolution Log.  |
|     | ounty Performance Contract   | •         |           | - | did not include dates, explanation of issues, outcomes, or dates of outcomes.  |

PLEASE NOTE: CHART REVIEW PROTOCOL ITEMS WILL BE REVIEWED FOR EACH CHART IDENTIFIED IN THE SAMPLE.

| PLE       | ASL NOTE. CHART REVIEW PROTOCOL HEWS V   | VIL |      | Ľĸ | EVIEWED FOR EACH CHART IDENTIFIED IN THE SAMPLE.  |
|-----------|--|-----|------|----|---|
|           |  | FI  | NDII | NG |   |
|           | CRITERIA   | Υ   | Р    | N  | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS   |
| 1.<br>1a. | Does the beneficiary meet all three (3) of the following medical necessity criteria for reimbursement (1a, 1b, and 1c. below)?  1) The beneficiary has a current ICD diagnosis which is included for non-hospital SMHS in accordance with the MHP contract?  |     |      |    | <ul> <li>GUIDANCE:         <ul> <li>Review assessment(s), evaluation(s), and/or other documentation to support 1a-1c.</li> <li>Is the beneficiary's diagnosis included in the list of diagnoses in CCR, title 9, chapter 11, section 1830.205(b)(1)(A-R).</li> </ul> </li> <li>Compliance Rating:</li></ul>                           |
| 1b.       | <ul> <li>The beneficiary, as a result of a mental disorder or emotional disturbance listed in 1a, must have at least one (1) of the following criteria (1-4 below):</li> <li>1) A significant impairment in an important area of life functioning.</li> <li>2) A probability of significant deterioration in an important area of life functioning.</li> <li>3) A probability that the child will not progress developmentally as individually appropriate.</li> <li>4) For full-scope MC beneficiaries under the age of 21 years, a condition as a result of the mental disorder or emotional disturbance that SMHS can correct or ameliorate.</li> </ul> |     |      |    | GUIDANCE:  Refer to CCR, title 9, chapter 11, sections 1830.205 (b)(2)(A-C) and 1830.210.  Is there documentation that supports that the beneficiary, as a result of a mental disorder or emotional disturbance listed in CCR, title 9, chapter 1, section 1830.205(b)(1)(A-R) has met at least one (1) of the criteria listed in 1b. |

(Rev. 09/09/2015) Page 94 FY 2015-2016 Protocol

|     |   | FII | NDI | NG |  |
|-----|---|-----|-----|----|--|
|     | CRITERIA  | Υ   | Р   | N  | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS  |
| 1c. | <ol> <li>Do the proposed and actual intervention(s) meet the intervention criteria listed below:</li> <li>The focus of the proposed and actual intervention(s) is to address the condition identified in No. 1b. (1-3) above, or for full-scope MC beneficiaries under the age of 21 years, a condition as a result of the mental disorder or emotional disturbance that SMHS can correct or ameliorate per No. 1b(4).</li> </ol>   |     |     |    | <ul> <li>GUIDANCE:</li> <li>Does the proposed intervention(s) focus on the condition(s) identified in No. 1b (1-3) or, for full-scope MC beneficiaries under the age of 21 years, on a condition that SMHS can correct or ameliorate per No.1b (4)?</li> <li>Is there a connection between the proposed intervention and at least one (1) of the following: <ul> <li>A. Diminishing the impairment?</li> <li>B. Preventing a significant deterioration?</li> <li>C. Allowing a child to progress developmentally as individually appropriate?</li> <li>D. Correcting or ameliorating the condition?</li> </ul> </li> </ul> |
|     | <ul> <li>2) The expectation is that the proposed and actual intervention(s) will do at least one (1) of the following (A, B, C, or D):</li> <li>A. Significantly diminish the impairment.</li> <li>B. Prevent significant deterioration in an important area of life functioning.</li> <li>C. Allow the child to progress developmentally as individually appropriate.</li> <li>D. For full-scope MC beneficiaries under the age of 21 years, correct or ameliorate the condition.</li> </ul> |     |     |    | D. Contooling of amonorating the containon:  |

(Rev. 09/09/2015) Page 95 FY 2015-2016 Protocol

|             |  | FI             | NDI              | NG                   |   |
|-------------|--|----------------|------------------|----------------------|---|
|             | CRITERIA   | Υ              | Р                | N                    | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS   |
| • CC        | The condition would not be responsive to physical health care based treatment.  CR, title 9, chapter 11, section 1830.205 (b)(c) CR, title 9, chapter 11, section 1830.210 CR, title 9, chapter 11, section 1810.345(c) CR, title 9, chapter 11, section 1840.112(b)(1-4) CR, title 9, chapter 11, section 1840.314(d) CR, title 22, chapter 3, section 51303(a) | <u>Ol</u><br>• | Cri<br>No<br>dia | teria<br>con<br>gno: | <ul> <li>GUIDANCE:         <ul> <li>Disorders due to medical conditions are not covered. Examples include, but are not limited to:</li></ul></li></ul>  |
| • <i>Cr</i> | edentialing Boards for MH Disciplines  Regarding the Assessment, are the following   |                |                  |                      | GUIDANCE:   |
| 2a.         | conditions met:  1) Has the Assessment been completed in accordance with the MHP's established written documentation standards for timeliness?   |                |                  |                      | <ul> <li>Review the MHP's written documentation standards guidelines.</li> <li>Review assessment(s), evaluation(s), and/or other documentation to support 2a, 2b, and 2c.</li> <li>Review the prior and current assessment for timeliness and frequency.</li> <li>The MHP must establish written standards for timeliness and frequency for the required assessment elements identified in 2c. (Refer to MHP Contract, Exhibit A, Attachment I).</li> </ul> |
|             | Has the Assessment been completed in accordance with the MHP's established written documentation standards for frequency?  |                |                  |                      | Compliance Rating:% (Number of assessments meeting requirements/Total number of assessments reviewed in audit sample)   |

(Rev. 09/09/2015) Page 96 FY 2015-2016 Protocol

|     |  | FII | NDI | NG |   |
|-----|--|-----|-----|----|---|
|     | CRITERIA   | Υ   | Р   | N  | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS   |
| 2b. | Do the Assessments include the areas specified in the MHP Contract with the Department?  1) Presenting Problem. The beneficiary's chief complaint, history of presenting problem(s) including current level of functioning, relevant family history and current family information;  2) Relevant conditions and psychosocial factors affecting the beneficiary's physical health and mental health including, as |     |     |    | <ul> <li>GUIDANCE:</li> <li>Review for the required appropriate elements. These elements may include, but are not limited to, the following: <ol> <li>Presenting Problem</li> <li>Relevant conditions and psychosocial factors</li> <li>Mental Health History</li> <li>Medical History</li> <li>Medications</li> <li>Substance Exposure/Substance Use</li> <li>Client Strengths</li> <li>Risks</li> </ol> </li> </ul> |
|     | applicable; living situation, daily activities, social support, cultural and linguistic factors, and history of trauma or exposure to trauma;  |     |     |    | <ul> <li>9) A mental status examination</li> <li>10)A complete five-axis diagnosis</li> <li>11)Additional clarifying formulation information, as needed</li> </ul>  |
|     | 3) Mental Health History. Previous treatment, including providers, therapeutic modality (e.g., medications, psychosocial treatments) and response, and inpatient admissions. If possible, include information from other sources of clinical data such as previous mental health records and relevant psychological testing or consultation reports;   |     |     |    | Compliance Rating:% (Number of assessments with all required elements/Total number of assessments reviewed in audit sample)   |

|   |   | NIDIA | 10 |                           |
|---|---|-------|----|---------------------------|
|   |   | NDIN  |    |                           |
| CRITERIA  | Υ | Р     | N  | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS |
| 4) <u>Medical History</u> . Relevant physical health  |   |       |    |                           |
| conditions reported by the beneficiary or a           |   |       |    |                           |
| significant support person. Include name              |   |       |    |                           |
| and address of current source of medical              |   |       |    |                           |
| treatment. For children and adolescents the           |   |       |    |                           |
| history must include prenatal and perinatal           |   |       |    |                           |
| events and relevant/significant                       |   |       |    |                           |
| developmental history. If possible, include           |   |       |    |                           |
| other medical information from medical                |   |       |    |                           |
| records or relevant consultation reports              |   |       |    |                           |
| 5) <u>Medications</u> . Information about medications |   |       |    |                           |
| the beneficiary has received, or is                   |   |       |    |                           |
| receiving, to treat mental health and                 |   |       |    |                           |
| medical conditions, including duration of             |   |       |    |                           |
| medical treatment. The assessment must                |   |       |    |                           |
| include documentation of the absence or               |   |       |    |                           |
| presence of allergies or adverse reactions            |   |       |    |                           |
| to medications and documentation of an                |   |       |    |                           |
| informed consent for medications;                     |   |       |    |                           |
| 6) <u>Substance Exposure/Substance Use</u> . Past     |   |       |    |                           |
| and present use of tobacco, alcohol,                  |   |       |    |                           |
| caffeine, CAM (complementary and                      |   |       |    |                           |
| alternative medications) and over-the-                |   |       |    |                           |
| counter drugs, and illicit drugs;                     |   |       |    |                           |
|   |   |       |    |                           |
| 7) Client Strengths. Documentation of the             |   |       |    |                           |
| beneficiary's strengths in achieving client           |   |       |    |                           |
| plan goals related to the beneficiary's               |   |       |    |                           |
| mental health needs and functional                    |   |       |    |                           |
| impairments as a result of the mental                 |   |       |    |                           |
| health diagnosis;                                     |   |       |    |                           |
|   |   |       |    |                           |

|     |  | FII | NDI | NG |  |
|-----|--|-----|-----|----|--|
|     | CRITERIA   | Υ   | Р   | N  | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS  |
|     | <ol> <li>Risks. Situations that present a risk to the<br/>beneficiary and/or others, including past or<br/>current trauma;</li> </ol>  |     |     |    | GUIDANCE:     Risk in this context refers to triggers and/or situations (e.g., psychosocial factors) which may present a risk of decompensation and/or escalation of the beneficiary's condition |
|     | 9) A mental status examination;  |     |     |    |  |
|     | 10) A Complete Diagnosis; A diagnosis from the current ICD-code must be documented, consistent with the presenting problems, history, mental status examination and/or other clinical data; including any current medical diagnoses. |     |     |    |  |
| 2c. | Does the assessment include:  1) The date of service?  |     |     |    |  |
|     | 2) The signature of the person providing the service (or electronic equivalent); the person's type of professional degree, and licensure or job title?   |     |     |    |  |
|     | The date the documentation was entered in the medical record?  |     |     |    |  |

(Rev. 09/09/2015) Page 99 FY 2015-2016 Protocol

|   | CRITERIA   | FIN       | NDII | NG<br>N | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS   |
|---|--|-----------|------|---------|---|
| • | CCR, title 9, chapter 11, section 1810.204                 | <u>ou</u> |      |         | OMPLIANCE:  |
| • | CCR, title 9, chapter 11, section 1840.112(b)(1-4)         | •         |      |         | essment has been completed.   |
| • | CCR, title 9, chapter 11, section 1840.314(d)(e)           | •         | Th   | e as    | sessment or other documents in the medical record do not contain the required |
| • | CCR, title 9, chapter 4, section 851- Lanterman-Petris Act |           | ele  | emer    | its.  |
| • | MHP Contract, Exhibit A, Attachment I                      | •         | Do   | cum     | entation that is illegible.   |

|     |  | FI | NDII | NG |   |
|-----|--|----|------|----|---|
|     | CRITERIA   | Υ  | Р    | N  | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS   |
| 3.  | Regarding medication consent forms:  |    |      |    | GUIDANCE:   |
| За. | Did the provider obtain and retain a current written medication consent form signed by the beneficiary agreeing to the administration of each prescribed psychiatric medication? |    |      |    | <ul> <li>Review the medication orders and medication consents.</li> <li>Attestation by clinician is not acceptable in lieu of medication consent.</li> <li>Medication consents should be specific for each medication prescribed.</li> <li>For circumstances in which a beneficiary does not have a medication history and/or current medications, reviewers should indicate "Not Applicable" in the findings.</li> </ul> |
| 3b. | Does the medication consent for psychiatric medications include the following required elements:   |    |      |    |   |
|     | <ol> <li>The reasons for taking such medications?</li> <li>Reasonable alternative treatments available, if</li> </ol>  |    |      |    | Compliance Rating:% (Number of med consents   |
|     | any?   |    |      |    | meeting requirements/Total number of med consents reviewed  |
|     | 3) Type of medication?   |    |      |    | in audit sample)  |
|     | 4) Range of frequency (of administration)?   |    |      |    |   |
|     | 5) Dosage?   |    |      |    |   |

(Rev. 09/09/2015) Page 100 FY 2015-2016 Protocol

|        |   | FII        | NDI | NG  |   |
|--------|---|------------|-----|-----|---|
|        | CRITERIA  | Y          | Р   | N   | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS   |
|        | 6) Method of administration?  |            |     |     |   |
|        | 7) Duration of taking the medication?   |            |     |     |   |
|        | 8) Probable side effects?   |            |     |     |   |
|        | Possible side effects if taken longer than 3 months?  |            |     |     |   |
|        | 10) Consent once given may be withdrawn at any time?  |            |     |     |   |
| 3c.    | Do medication consents include:  1) The date of service?  |            |     |     |   |
|        | 2) The signature of the person providing the<br>service (or electronic equivalent); the<br>person's type of professional degree, and<br>licensure or job title? |            |     |     |   |
|        | 3) The date the documentation was entered in<br>the medical record?   |            |     |     |   |
| • C(   | CR, title 9, chapter 11, section 1810.204   | <u>O</u> l |     |     | OMPLIANCE:  |
|        | CR, title 9, chapter 11, section 1840.112(b)(1-4)   | •          |     |     | sessment has been completed.  |
|        | CR, title 9, chapter 11, section 1840.314(d)(e)   | •          |     |     | sessment or other documents in the medical record do not contain the required                             |
|        | CR, title 9, chapter 4, section 851- Lanterman-Petris Act   |            |     | mer |   |
| • IVII | HP Contract, Exhibit A, Attachment I  |            |     |     | ation consent requirements not met. nentation that is illegible.  |
| 4.     | Regarding the client plan, are the following  |            |     |     | GUIDANCE:   |
| ''     | conditions met:   |            |     |     | Review the MHP's written documentation standards guidelines.  |
|        |   |            |     |     | Review the prior and current client plans for timeliness and  |
| 4a.    | Has the client plan been updated at least annually  |            |     |     | frequency.  |
|        | and/or when there are significant changes in the  |            |     |     |   |
|        | beneficiary's condition?  |            |     |     | Compliance Rating:% (Number of client plans meeting requirements/Total number of client plans reviewed in |

(Rev. 09/09/2015) Page 101 FY 2015-2016 Protocol

|     |   | FII | NDII | NG |  |
|-----|---|-----|------|----|--|
|     | CRITERIA  | Y   | Р    | N  | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS  |
|     |   |     |      |    | audit sample)  |
| 4b. | Does the client plan include the items specified in the MHP Contract with the Department?  1) Specific, observable, and/or specific quantifiable goals/treatment objectives related to the beneficiary's mental health needs and functional impairments as a result of the mental health diagnosis. |     |      |    | <ul> <li>Review the objectives and interventions of the client plan for compliance as indicated in 4B (1-7).</li> <li>Assessment, Crisis Intervention, Plan Development, and Crisis Stabilization services may be provided prior to completion of the client plan.</li> <li>If MHP does not set its own timeliness standard, initial client plans should be completed within 60 days.</li> </ul> |
|     | <ol> <li>The proposed type(s) of intervention/modality<br/>including a detailed description of the<br/>intervention to be provided.</li> </ol>  |     |      |    | <ul> <li>The client plan is to be a collaborative process with the beneficiary.</li> <li>A detailed description of the intervention should include a</li> </ul>  |
|     | 3) The proposed frequency of intervention(s).   |     |      |    | description of specific strategies (i.e., what is being done)  |
|     | 4) The proposed duration of intervention(s).  |     |      |    | within the identified modality and how these strategies address  |
|     | 5) Interventions that focus and address the identified functional impairments as a result of the mental disorder or emotional disturbance.  |     |      |    | the beneficiary's functional impairment.   |
|     | 6) Interventions are consistent with client plan goal(s)/treatment objective(s).  |     |      |    |  |
|     | 7) Be consistent with the qualifying diagnoses.   |     |      |    |  |
| 4c. | <ul> <li>Is the client plan signed (or electronic equivalent)</li> <li>by: <ol> <li>The person providing the service(s) or,</li> <li>A person representing a team or program providing the service(s) or,</li> <li>A person representing the MHP providing service(s) or,</li> </ol> </li> </ul>    |     |      |    | <ul> <li>GUIDANCE:</li> <li>MHP must provide a list of (all licensed/waivered/registered) staff, staff signatures (or electronic equivalent), professional degree, and licensure or job title.</li> <li>MHP must provide evidence for registered staff (e.g., print out from Board of Behavioral Science).</li> <li>Consumers/peers must meet MHP's minimum qualifications.</li> </ul>           |
|     | 4) By one of the following, as a co-signer, if the  |     |      |    | *LPCCs are <u>not</u> permitted to assess or treat couples or  |

(Rev. 09/09/2015) Page 102 FY 2015-2016 Protocol

|     |  | FI | NDII | NG |   |
|-----|--|----|------|----|---|
|     | CRITERIA   | Υ  | Р    | N  | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS   |
|     | client plan is used to establish that services are provided under the direction of an approved category of staff, and if the signing staff is not of the approved categories, one (1) of the following must sign:  A. A Physician  B. A Licensed/Registered/Waivered Psychologist  C. A Licensed/Registered/Waivered Social Worker  D. A Licensed/Registered/Waivered Marriage and Family Therapist  E. A Licensed/Registered/Waivered Professional Clinical Counselor*  F. A Registered Nurse, including but not limited to nurse practitioners, and clinical nurse specialists |    |      |    | families unless the LPCC has completed ALL the required experience and course work on this subject as specified in CA Business and Professions Code Section 4999.20:  1) Six (6) semester / nine (9) quarter units focused on theory and application of marriage family therapy AND  2) No less the 500 hours of documented supervised experience working directly with couples, families, or children AND  3) A minimum of six hours of continuing education specific to marriage and family therapy, completed in each license renewal cycle. |
| 4d. | Regarding the beneficiary's participation and agreement with the client plan:  1) Is there documentation of the beneficiary's degree of participation and agreement with the client plan as evidenced by, but not limited to:  a. Reference to the beneficiary's participation in and agreement in the body of the client plan; or  b. The beneficiary signature on the client plan; or  c. A description of the beneficiary's participation and agreement in the medical record.  |    |      |    | Review for the beneficiary's degree of participation and agreement with the plan as follows:     Reference the beneficiary's participation and agreement in the body of the client plan, the beneficiary's signature on the client plan, or a description of the beneficiary's participation and agreement in the medical record.   |

(Rev. 09/09/2015) Page 103 FY 2015-2016 Protocol

|   |   | FINDING   |   | NG |  |
|---|---|---|---|----|--|
| CRITERIA  |   |   | Р |    | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS  |
|   | <ul> <li>2) Does the client plan include the beneficiary's signature or the signature of the beneficiary's legal representative when: <ul> <li>a. The beneficiary is expected to be in long-term treatment, as determined by the MHP, and,</li> <li>b. The client plan provides that the beneficiary will be receiving more than one (1) type of SMHS?</li> </ul> </li> <li>3) When the beneficiary's signature or the</li> </ul> |   |   |    | <ul> <li>GUIDANCE:</li> <li>The beneficiary signature is required under the following circumstances:         <ul> <li>The beneficiary expected to be in long-term treatment as determined by the MHP.</li> <li>The beneficiary is receiving more than one type of SMHS.</li> </ul> </li> <li>The beneficiary is required to sign the client plan per the MHP's documentation standards guidelines.</li> <li>Does the MHP have a written definition of what constitutes a long-term care beneficiary?</li> </ul> <li>GUIDANCE:</li> |
|   | signature of the beneficiary's legal representative is required on the client plan and the beneficiary refuses or is unavailable for signature, does the client plan include a written explanation of the refusal or unavailability of the signature?   |   |   |    | When the beneficiary's signature is required on the client plan and the beneficiary refuses or is unavailable for signature, is there a written explanation of the refusal or unavailability?  |
| 4e.   | Is there documentation that the contractor offered a copy of the client plan to the beneficiary?  |   |   |    | <ul><li>GUIDANCE:</li><li>Review the medical record for documentation.</li></ul>   |
| 4f.   | Does the client plan include:  1) The date of service;  2) The signature of the person providing the service (or electronic equivalent); the person's type of professional degree, and licensure or job title; AND  3) The date the documentation was entered in the medical record?  |   |   |    |  |
| <ul> <li>CCR, title 9, chapter 11, section 1810.205.2</li> <li>CCR, title 9, chapter 11, section 1810.254</li> <li>CCR, title 9, chapter 11, section 1810.440(c)(1)(2)</li> </ul> |   | OUT OF COMPLIANCE:  Requirements not met in 4a-4c. Client plan was not completed. |   |    |  |

(Rev. 09/09/2015) Page 104 FY 2015-2016 Protocol

|  |   | FII | NDIN                          | IG  |   |
|--|---|-----|-------------------------------|---|---|
|  | CRITERIA  | Υ   | P                             | N   | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS   |
| <ul> <li>Co</li> <li>Di</li> <li>W</li> <li>M</li> <li>Co</li> </ul> | CR, title 9, chapter 11, section 1840.112(b)(2-5) CR, title 9, chapter 11, section 1840.314(d)(e) MH Letter 02-01, Enclosure A IC, section 5751.2 HP Contract, Exhibit A, Attachment I CR, title 16, Section 1820.5 alifornia Business and Profession Code, Section 4999.20 | •   | in to Clies No No Clies No No | the lent period evice evice evice evice write | blan was not updated at least annually and when there were significant changes beneficiary's condition.  blan was not signed by staff as indicated in 4c.  dence that the contractor offered a copy of the client plan to the beneficiary.  dence of the beneficiary agreeing or participating in the client plan.  blan was not signed by the beneficiary when required.  ten explanation when the beneficiary refuses to sign or is unavailable.  ten definition of what constitutes a long-term care beneficiary.  entation that is illegible. |
| 5a.  | Do the progress notes document the following:     Timely documentation of relevant aspects of client care, including documentation of medical necessity?  |     |                               |   | <ul> <li>GUIDANCE:</li> <li>Review the MHP's documentation standards guidelines.</li> <li>The date the service was documented in the medical record by the person providing the service.</li> <li>Compliance Rating:% (Number of progress notes meeting requirements/Total number of progress notes reviewed in audit sample)</li> </ul>  |
|  | 2) Documentation of beneficiary encounters,<br>including relevant clinical decisions, when<br>decisions are made, alternative approaches for<br>future interventions?   |     |                               |   | Teviewed in addit sample)   |
|  | 3) Interventions applied, beneficiary's response to the interventions, and the location of the interventions?   |     |                               |   |   |
|  | 4) The date the services were provided?   |     |                               |   |   |
|  | 5) Documentation of referrals to community resources and other agencies, when appropriate?  |     |                               |   |   |
|  | Documentation of follow-up care or, as appropriate, a discharge summary?  |     |                               |   |   |

(Rev. 09/09/2015) Page 105 FY 2015-2016 Protocol

|     |   | FI | NDI | NG |  |
|-----|---|----|-----|----|--|
|     | CRITERIA  | Y  | Р   | N  | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS  |
|     | 7) The amount of time taken to provide services?  |    |     |    |  |
|     | 8) The signature of the person providing the service (or electronic equivalent); the person's type of professional degree, and licensure or job title?  |    |     |    |  |
| 5b. | When services are being provided to, or on behalf of, a beneficiary by two or more persons at one point in time, do the progress notes include:   |    |     |    |  |
|     | 1) Documentation of each person's involvement in the context of the mental health needs of the beneficiary?   |    |     |    |  |
|     | 2) The exact number of minutes used by persons providing the service?   |    |     |    | <ul> <li>GUIDANCE:</li> <li>The time utilized by all those providing services must be added together to yield the total claimable services.</li> </ul>   |
|     | 3) Signature(s) of person(s) providing the services?  |    |     |    |  |
| 5c. | Timeliness/frequency as follows:  1) Every service contact for:  A. Mental health services  B. Medication support services  C. Crisis intervention  D. Targeted Case Management  2) Daily for:  A. Crisis residential  B. Crisis stabilization (one per 23/hour period)  C. Day treatment intensive  3) Weekly for: |    |     |    | <ul> <li>GUIDANCE:</li> <li>The day treatment intensive weekly clinical summary note must be reviewed and signed by one of the following:         <ul> <li>Physician</li> <li>Licensed/Registered/Waivered Psychologist</li> <li>Licensed/Registered/Waivered Social Worker</li> <li>Licensed/Registered/Waivered Marriage and Family Therapist</li> <li>Licensed/Registered/Waivered Professional Clinical Counselor</li> <li>Registered Nurse</li> </ul> </li> </ul> |
|     | A. Day treatment intensive (clinical summary)   |    |     |    | Documentation must support the program requirements, the   |

(Rev. 09/09/2015) Page 106 FY 2015-2016 Protocol

|  |  | FII | NDII                | NG  |  |
|--|--|-----|---------------------|---|--|
|  | CRITERIA   | Υ   | Р                   | N   | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS  |
|  | B. Day rehabilitation     C. Adult residential   |     |                     |   | type of service, date of service and units of time claimed.  |
| 5d.  | Do all entries in the beneficiary's medical record include:  1) The date of service?  2) The signature of the person providing the service (or electronic equivalent); the person's type of professional degree, and licensure or job title? |     |                     |   |  |
|  | The date the documentation was entered in the medical record?  |     |                     |   |  |
| <ul> <li>CCR, title 9, chapter 11, section 1810.254</li> <li>CCR, title 9, chapter 11, section 1810.440(c)</li> <li>CCR, title 9, chapter 11, section 1840.112(b)(2-6)</li> <li>CCR, title 9, chapter 11, section 1840.314</li> <li>CCR, title 9, chapter 11, sections 1840.316 - 1840.322</li> <li>CCR, title 22, chapter 3, section 51458.1</li> <li>CCR, title 22, chapter 3, section 51470</li> <li>MHP Contract, Exhibit A, Attachment I</li> </ul> |  | •   | Profur ou Profue Do | ogre-<br>nction<br>tline<br>ogre-<br>nefic<br>ncum<br>rvice<br>sigr | ompliance:  as notes do not describe how services provided reduced impairment, restored ning, or prevented significant deterioration in an important area of life functioning d in the client plan.  as notes that do not indicate the date of service, the amount of time, and cliary encounters as specified in 5a - 5c.  entation that is illegible.  as not documented timely.  nature of person providing the services as specified in 5a (8).  ce that beneficiaries are not receiving services that were claimed. |
| 6.<br>6a.  | Regarding cultural/linguistic services and availability in alternative formats:  Is there any evidence that mental health interpreter services are offered and provided, when applicable?  |     |                     |   | GUIDANCE:     Review CCPR, MHP's policies and procedures and medical records for:     If beneficiary is Limited English Proficient (LEP), there is documentation interpreter services were offered and provided and an indication of the beneficiary's response.   |

(Rev. 09/09/2015) Page 107 FY 2015-2016 Protocol

|     |   | FINDING |     |   |
|-----|---|---------|-----|---|
|     | CRITERIA  | YI      | P N | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS   |
| 6b. | If the needs for language assistance is identified in the assessment, is there documentation of linking beneficiaries to culture-specific and/or linguistic services as described in the MHP's CCPR?  |         |     | <ul> <li>There is evidence beneficiaries are made aware that SMHS are available in their preferred language.</li> <li>Linkages might include referrals to community based organizations or other community resources.</li> <li>Interpreter services mean oral and sign language.</li> </ul>   |
| 6c. | When applicable, is service-related personal correspondence provided in the beneficiary's preferred language?   |         |     | <ul> <li>GUIDANCE:</li> <li>Personal correspondence includes, but is not limited to, client plans, medication consents, Notices of Action, Grievance Disposition letters, etc.</li> </ul>   |
| 6d. | When applicable, was treatment specific information provided to beneficiaries in an alternative format (e.g., braille, audio, large print, etc.)?   |         |     | <ul> <li>GUIDANCE:</li> <li>When applicable, review evidence beneficiaries were provided with information in an alternative format.</li> </ul>  |
| •   | CFR, title 42, section 438.10(c)(4),(5) CCR, title 9, chapter 11, section 1810.405(d) CCR, title 9, chapter 11, section 1810.410  |         |     | OMPLIANCE:<br>dence of 6a-d.  |
| 7a. | Regarding Service Components for Day Treatment Intensive and Day Rehabilitation programs:  1) Do Day Treatment Intensive and Day Rehabilitation programs include all the following required service components: A. Daily Community Meetings;* |         |     | <ul> <li>GUIDANCE:</li> <li>Review the MHP's written documentation standard guidelines.</li> <li>Review the Written Weekly Schedule for: <ul> <li>A. Required service components including requirements for community meetings and Day Treatment Intensive psychotherapy.</li> <li>B. Required and qualified staff.</li> <li>C. Documentation of the specific times, location, and assigned staff.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> |
|     | B. Process Groups;  C. Skill-building Groups; and   |         |     | <ul> <li>Community meetings must occur at least once a day and have the following staffing:         <ul> <li>For Day Treatment Intensive: Staff whose scope of practice includes psychotherapy.</li> <li>For Day Rehabilitation: Staff who is a physician,</li> </ul> </li> </ul>   |

(Rev. 09/09/2015) Page 108 FY 2015-2016 Protocol

|     |   | FINE | DING |  |
|-----|---|------|------|--|
|     | CRITERIA  | ΥI   | PN   | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS  |
|     | D. Adjunctive Therapies?  |      |      | licensed/waivered/registered psychologist, clinical social worker, marriage and family therapist, or professional clinical counselor, registered nurse, psychiatric technician, licensed vocational nurse, or mental health rehabilitation specialist.  • The MHP must retain the authority to set additional higher or more specific standards than those set forth in the MHP Contract, provided the MHP's standards are consistent with applicable state and federal laws and regulations and do not prevent the delivery of medically necessary Day Treatment Intensive and Day Rehabilitation.  |
|     | Does Day Treatment Intensive include     Psychotherapy?   |      |      | <ul> <li>GUIDANCE:         <ul> <li>Psychotherapy does not include physiological interventions, including medication intervention.</li> <li>Day Rehabilitation may include psychotherapy instead of process groups, or in addition to process groups.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>   |
| 7b. | 1) Is there documentation of the total number of minutes/hours the beneficiary actually attended the program? 2) If the beneficiary is unavoidably absent:  A. Is the total time (number of hours and minutes) the beneficiary actually attended the program that day documented;  B. Is the beneficiary present for at least 50 percent of the scheduled hours of operation for that day; AND,  C. Is there a separate entry in the medical record documenting the reason for the unavoidable absence? |      |      | <ul> <li>GUIDANCE:</li> <li>Review the progress notes for: <ul> <li>A. Documentation of attendance in the total number of minutes/hours.</li> <li>B. Day Treatment Intensive and Day Rehabilitation services were provided as claimed.</li> <li>C. If the beneficiary is unavoidably absent and does not attend the scheduled hours of operation, there is a separate entry in the medical record documenting the reason and the total minutes/hours of actual attendance.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Per the MHP Contract, Exhibit A, Attachment I, in cases where absences are frequent, it is the responsibility of the Contractor to ensure that the provider re-evaluates the beneficiary's need for the Day Rehabilitation or Day Treatment Intensive program and takes appropriate action.</li> </ul> |

(Rev. 09/09/2015) Page 109 FY 2015-2016 Protocol

|     |   | FINI | DING |  |
|-----|---|------|------|--|
|     | CRITERIA  | Υı   | P N  | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS  |
| 7c. | Provider apply the following when claiming for the continuous hours of operation of Day Treatment Intensive and Day Rehabilitation services?  A. For Half Day: The beneficiary received face-to-face services a minimum of three (3) hours each day the program was open.  B. For Full-Day: The beneficiary received face-to-face services in a program with services available more than four (4) hours per day. |      |      | <ul> <li>GUIDANCE:         <ul> <li>Review Written Weekly Schedule and other documentation to ensure this requirement is met.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Breaks between activities, as well as lunch and dinner breaks, do not count toward the total continuous hours of operation for purposes of determining minimum hours of service.</li> </ul> |
| 7d. | 1) Do Day Treatment Intensive and Day Rehabilitation meet the following staffing requirements:  A. For Day Treatment Intensive: Psychotherapy is provided by licensed, registered, or waivered staff practicing within their scope of practice.  B. For all scheduled hours of operation: There   |      |      | Review the Written Weekly Schedule, progress notes and other documentation to determine if the required and qualified staff were available for all scheduled hours of operation.   |
|     | is at least one staff person present and available to the group in the therapeutic milieu.  |      |      |  |

(Rev. 09/09/2015) Page 110 FY 2015-2016 Protocol

|     |  | FINI | DING |   |
|-----|--|------|------|---|
|     |  |      |      | INICEDIATIONS TO DEVICE DO  |
|     | CRITERIA   | ΥΙ   | PN   | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS   |
| 7e. | <ul> <li>Regarding Documentation Standards:</li> <li>1) Is the required documentation timeliness/frequency for Day Treatment Intensive or Day Rehabilitation being met?</li> <li>A. For Day Treatment Intensive services: <ul> <li>Daily progress notes on activities; and</li> <li>A weekly clinical summary.</li> </ul> </li> <li>B. For Day Rehabilitation services: <ul> <li>Weekly progress note.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>  |      |      | <ul> <li>Review for:         <ul> <li>A. Required documentation timeliness/frequency for Day Treatment Intensive and Day Rehabilitation.</li> <li>B. Required and qualified staff documenting and providing the service.</li> <li>C. Required standards for all entries in the medical record.</li> </ul> </li> <li>The Day Treatment Intensive weekly clinical summary must be reviewed and signed by a physician, a licensed/waivered/registered psychologist, clinical social worker, marriage and family therapist, or professional clinical counselor; or a registered nurse who is either staff to the Day Treatment Intensive program or the person directing the</li> </ul> |
|     | <ul> <li>2) Do all entries in the beneficiary's medical record include: <ul> <li>A. The date(s) of service;</li> <li>B. The signature of the person providing the service (or electronic equivalent);</li> <li>C. The person's type of professional degree, licensure or job title;</li> <li>D. The date of signature;</li> <li>E. The date the documentation was entered in the beneficiary record; and</li> <li>F. The total number of minutes/hours the beneficiary actually attended the program?</li> </ul> </li> </ul> |      |      | service.  |
| 7f. | Regarding the Written Program Description:  1) Is there a Written Program Description for Day Treatment Intensive and Day Rehabilitation?  A. Does the Written Program Description describe the specific activities of each  |      |      | <ul> <li>GUIDANCE:</li> <li>Review the Written Program Description and Written Weekly Schedule to determine if:         <ul> <li>A. There are specific activities described for each service component.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>  |

(Rev. 09/09/2015) Page 111 FY 2015-2016 Protocol

|  | FIND   | DING |   |
|--|--|------|---|
| CRITERIA   | ΥF   | N    | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS   |
| service and reflect each of the required components of the services as described in the MHP Contract.  |  |      | <ul> <li>B. All required service components are reflected in the <u>Written Program Description</u>, as well as indicated on the <u>Written Weekly Schedule</u>.</li> <li>C. Required and qualified staff were available for all scheduled</li> </ul>   |
| 2) Is there a Mental Health Crisis Protocol?   |  |      | <ul> <li>hours of operation.</li> <li>If the MHP uses Day Treatment Intensive and/or Day<br/>Rehabilitation staff who are also staff with other responsibilities</li> </ul>   |
| <ul> <li>3) Is there a Written Weekly Schedule?</li> <li>A. Does the Written Weekly Schedule: <ul> <li>a) Identify when and where the service components will be provided and by whom; and</li> <li>b) Specify the program staff, their qualifications, and the scope of their services?</li> </ul> </li> </ul>  |  |      | (e.g., as staff of a group home, a school, or another mental health treatment program), there must be documentation of the scope of responsibilities for these staff and the specific times in which <i>Day Treatment Intensive</i> or <i>Day Rehabilitation</i> activities are being performed exclusive of other activities.  |
| <ul> <li>CCR, title 9, chapter 11, section 1810.212</li> <li>CCR, title 9, chapter 11, section 1840.112(b)</li> <li>CCR, title 9, chapter 11, section 1840.314(d)(e)</li> <li>CCR, title 9, chapter 11, section 1840.318</li> <li>CCR, title 9, chapter 11, section 1840.360</li> <li>MHP Contract, Exhibit A, Attachment I</li> <li>DMH Letter No. 03-03</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>The set offered</li> <li>Staff no</li> <li>Benefic</li> <li>No doc attende</li> <li>When uminutes</li> <li>Schedu Day Re</li> <li>No doc service job title</li> </ul> |      | compliance:  dervice components for Day Treatment Intensive and Day Rehabilitation were not end or provided; or were provided by staff outside their scope of practice.  not present as required in 7d.  ficiary attendance requirements were not met.  ocumentation of the total number of minutes/hours the beneficiary actually ded the program.  In unavoidably absent, no documentation of the reason and/or total number of es/hours of actual attendance.  Induled hours of continuous operation requirements for Day Treatment Intensive and Rehabilitation were not met.  In unavoidably absent of the date(s) of service, signature of the person providing the complete (or electronic equivalent), the person's type of professional degree, licensure or lie, and/or date of signature.  progress notes and weekly clinical summary requirements were not met. |

(Rev. 09/09/2015) Page 112 FY 2015-2016 Protocol

### <u>SECTION L</u> <u>CHART REVIEW — SD/MC HOSPITAL SERVICES</u>

|      |   | FINE | DING   |   |
|------|---|------|--------|---|
|      | CRITERIA  | Υ    | N      | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS   |
| 1.   | Does the beneficiary have a current ICD diagnosis which is included per CCR, title 9, chapter 11, sections 1820.205(a)(1)(A) through 1820.205(a)(1)(R)?   |      |        | <ul> <li>GUIDANCE:</li> <li>Refer to CCR, title 9, chapter 11, section 1820.205 medical necessity criteria for reimbursement of Psychiatric Inpatient Hospital Services.</li> </ul> |
| • C0 | CR, title 9, chapter 11, section 1820.205(a)(1)   | • E  | 3enefi | OMPLIANCE: ciary does not have a DSM diagnosis from the included list in CCR, title 9,  |
| 2.   | Did the beneficiary meet criteria in both 2a-2b.  |      | ларсе  | r 11, section 1820.205.  GUIDANCE:  |
| ۷.   | below:  |      |        | Review medical record documentation.  |
| 2a.  | Cannot be safely treated at a lower level of care, except that a beneficiary who can be safely treated with crisis residential treatment services or psychiatric health facility services for an acute psychiatric episode must be considered to have met this criterion?   |      |        |   |
| 2b.  | Required psychiatric inpatient hospital services, as the result of a mental disorder or emotional disturbance, due to indications in either (1) or (2) below:  1) Had symptoms or behaviors due to a mental disorder or emotional disturbance that (one of the following):  a) Represented a current danger to self or others, or significant property destruction.  b) Prevented the beneficiary from providing for, |      |        |   |
|      | or utilizing food, clothing or shelter.   |      |        |   |

(Rev. 09/09/2015) Page 113 FY 2015-2016 Protocol

### <u>SECTION L</u> <u>CHART REVIEW — SD/MC HOSPITAL SERVICES</u>

|      |   | FINE | DING |   |
|------|---|------|------|---|
|      | CRITERIA  | Υ    | N    | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS   |
|      | c) Presented a severe risk to the beneficiary's physical health.  | -    |      |   |
|      | d) Represented a recent, significant deterioration in ability to function.  |      |      |   |
|      | 2) Required admission for one of the following:   |      |      | <ul><li>GUIDANCE:</li><li>The documentation must indicate why the "further psychiatric</li></ul>  |
|      | a) Further psychiatric evaluation.  |      |      | evaluation" can only be conducted in an inpatient psychiatric unit.   |
|      | b) Medication treatment.  |      |      | <ul> <li>GUIDANCE:</li> <li>The documentation must indicate why the "medication treatment" can only be conducted in an inpatient psychiatric unit.</li> </ul> |
|      | <ul> <li>c) Other treatment which could reasonably be<br/>provided only if the beneficiary were<br/>hospitalized.</li> </ul>              |      |      |   |
| • CC | CR, title 9, chapter 11, section 1820.205(a)(2)   | _    |      | OMPLIANCE: ciary does not meet criteria stated in 2a-2b.  |
| 3.   | Did the beneficiary's continued stay services in a psychiatric inpatient hospital meet one of the following reimbursement criteria 3a-3d: |      |      | GUIDANCE:  Review medical record documentation.   |
| 3a.  | Continued presence of indications which meet the medical necessity criteria specified in items No. 2a-2b. above.                          |      |      |   |
| 3b.  | Serious adverse reaction to medication, procedures, or therapies requiring continued hospitalization.                                     |      |      |   |

(Rev. 09/09/2015) Page 114 FY 2015-2016 Protocol

## SECTION L CHART REVIEW — SD/MC HOSPITAL SERVICES

|     |  | FINE | DING  |  |
|-----|--|------|-------|--|
|     | CRITERIA   | Υ    | N     | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS                              |
| 3c. | Presence of new indications which met medical necessity criteria specified in items 2a and 2b just above.  |      |       |  |
| 3d. | Need for continued medical evaluation or treatment that could only have been provided if the beneficiary remained in a psychiatric inpatient hospital.   |      |       |  |
| • C | CR, title 9, chapter 11, section 1820.205(b)   | OUT  | OF C  | OMPLIANCE:   |
|     |  | • [  | Docum | nentation does not support medical necessity criteria. |
| 4.  | If payment has been authorized for administrative day services, were the following requirements met:   |      |       |  |
| 4a. | During the hospital stay, did the beneficiary previously meet medical necessity criteria for reimbursement of acute psychiatric inpatient hospital services?                                   |      |       |  |
| 4b. | Was there no appropriate, non-acute treatment facility within a reasonable geographic area?  |      |       |  |
| 4c. | Did the hospital document contacts with a minimum of five (5) appropriate, non-acute treatment facilities per week subject to the following requirements?                                      |      |       |  |
|     | The lack of placement options at appropriate, non-acute residential treatment facilities and the contacts made at appropriate facilities must be documented to include, but not be limited to: |      |       |  |
|     | a) The status of the placement option.   |      |       |  |
|     | b) Date of the contact.  |      |       |  |
|     | c) Signature of the person making the contact.   |      |       |  |

(Rev. 09/09/2015) Page 115 FY 2015-2016 Protocol

## SECTION L CHART REVIEW — SD/MC HOSPITAL SERVICES

|      |   | FINI | DING             |  |
|------|---|------|------------------|--|
|      | CRITERIA  | Υ    | N                | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS  |
| • C  | CR, title 9, chapter 11, section 1820.220(5)(A),(B)   |      |                  | OMPLIANCE: nentation does not meet criteria for administrative day services.   |
| 5.   | Regarding linguistically competent services:  |      | Boodii           | GUIDANCE:  • If beneficiary is LEP, review to determine whether interpretive   |
| 5a.  | Is there any evidence that mental health interpreter services are offered?  |      |                  | services were offered.  Review medical record documentation.   |
| 5b.  | When applicable, is there documentation of the response to offers of interpreter services as described in the MHP's CCPR?   |      |                  | Review inpatient Implementation Plan (IP).   |
| • Di | CR, title 9, chapter 11, section 1810.410(a) MH Information Notice No. 10-02, Enclosure, Page 22 and MH Information Notice No. 10-17, Enclosure, age 17   | •    | Not fol<br>Docum | OMPLIANCE: lowing plan (NFP). nentation does not indicate that mental health interpreter services were offered. sponse not documented.   |
| 6.   | Does the record documentation in the beneficiary's chart reflect staff efforts to provide screening, referral, and coordination with other necessary services including, but not limited to, substance abuse, educational, health, housing, vocational rehabilitation and Regional Center services? |      |                  | <ul> <li>GUIDANCE:</li> <li>Use "Admission Summary Worksheet" and "Continued Stay Summary Worksheet."</li> <li>Review medical record documentation.</li> <li>Review MHP inpatient IP.</li> </ul> |
| • C  | CR, title 9, chapter 11, section 1810.310(a)(2)(A)  |      |                  | OMPLIANCE:   |
|      | IC, section 4696.1  | •    |                  | nentation does not reflect staff efforts for screening, referral, and coordination with  |
| 7.   | Were services delivered by licensed staff within their own scope(s) of practice?  |      |                  |  |
| 8a.  | When applicable:  Is there evidence the MHP provided beneficiary protection material to beneficiaries in an alternate format when appropriate?  |      |                  | GUIDANCE:     As needed, review evidence that beneficiaries are provided information in an alternate format.   |
| 8b.  | Is service-related personal correspondence in the client's preferred language?  |      |                  |  |

(Rev. 09/09/2015) Page 116 FY 2015-2016 Protocol

## SECTION L CHART REVIEW — SD/MC HOSPITAL SERVICES

|              |  | FINDI | NG   |  |  |  |  |  |
|--------------|--|-------|------|--|--|--|--|--|
|              |  | FINDI | NG   |  |  |  |  |  |
|              | CRITERIA   |       | N    | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS  |  |  |  |  |
| DN att Pa 10 | DMH Information Notice Nos. 97-06 (paragraph D, 5 of the attachment), DMH Information Notice No. 10-02, Enclosure, Page 23, and DMH Information Notice No. 10-17, Enclosure, Pages 18-19                                 |       |      | <ul> <li>OUT OF COMPLIANCE:</li> <li>Where appropriate, no evidence that the beneficiary is provided with information in an alternate format.</li> <li>Correspondence not in client's preferred language.</li> </ul> |  |  |  |  |
| • <i>Wi</i>  | C, sections 5600.2(e) and 5614(b)(5)  Does the MHP document in the individual's  |       |      |  |  |  |  |  |
|              | medical record whether or not the individual has executed an advance directive?  FR, title 42, sections 438.100(b)(1) and 417.436(d)(3)  | OUT   | OF C | OMPLIANCE:   |  |  |  |  |
|              |  | • Me  |      | I record does not document whether or not an advance directive has been  |  |  |  |  |
| 10.          | Does the beneficiary have a written plan of care that includes the following elements:   |       |      | <ul><li>GUIDANCE:</li><li>Review medical record documentation.</li></ul>   |  |  |  |  |
| 10a.         | Diagnoses, symptoms, complaints, and complications indicating the need for admission?  |       |      |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10b.         | A description of the functional level of the beneficiary?  |       |      |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10c.         | Specific observable and/or specific quantifiable goals/treatment objectives related to the beneficiary's mental health needs and functional impairments resulting from the qualifying mental health diagnosis/diagnoses? |       |      |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10d.         | Descriptions of the types of interventions/modalities, including a detailed description of the interventions to be provided?   |       |      |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10e.         | A proposed frequency and duration for each of the interventions?   |       |      |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10f.         | Interventions which are consistent with the qualifying diagnoses?  |       |      |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10g.         | Any orders for:  |       |      |  |  |  |  |  |

(Rev. 09/09/2015) Page 117 FY 2015-2016 Protocol

# <u>SECTION L</u> <u>CHART REVIEW — SD/MC HOSPITAL SERVICES</u>

|      |  | FINE | DING               |   |  |
|------|--|------|--------------------|---|--|
|      | CRITERIA   | Υ    | N                  | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS   |  |
|      | 1) Medications?  |      |                    |   |  |
|      | 2) Treatments?   |      |                    |   |  |
|      | 3) Restorative and rehabilitative services?  |      |                    |   |  |
|      | 4) Activities?   |      |                    |   |  |
|      | 5) Therapies?  |      |                    |   |  |
|      | 6) Social services?  |      |                    |   |  |
|      | 7) Diet?   |      |                    |   |  |
|      | Special procedures recommended for the health and safety of the beneficiary?               |      |                    |   |  |
| 10h. | Plans for continuing care, including review and modification to the plan of care?          |      |                    |   |  |
| 10i. | Plans for discharge?   |      |                    |   |  |
| 10j. | Documentation of the beneficiary's degree of participation in and agreement with the plan? |      |                    | <ul> <li>GUIDANCE:</li> <li>Parents, family members, and other advocates can be included in this process as selected by the adult client.</li> <li>Look for client's signature or statement describing client participation.</li> </ul> |  |
| 10k. | Documentation of the physician's establishment of the plan?                                |      |                    | GUIDANCE:  Look for physician's signature.  |  |
|      | R, title 42, section 456.180   |      | OUT OF COMPLIANCE: |   |  |
| • CC | CR, title 9, chapter 11, section 1820.210  | •    | Requir             | ed elements are not documented.   |  |

(Rev. 09/09/2015) Page 118 FY 2015-2016 Protocol

|           |   | FINE | DING  |   |  |  |
|-----------|---|------|---|---|--|--|
|           | CRITERIA  | Υ    | N   | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS   |  |  |
| 1.<br>1a. | Does the Utilization Review (UR) Plan:  Provide for a committee to perform UR?  |      |   | <ul> <li>GUIDANCE:</li> <li>Review IP, MHP UR Plan, and Utilization Review Committee (URC) minutes.</li> <li>Identify URC members.</li> <li>Look at licenses of members.</li> </ul> |  |  |
| 1b.       | Describe the organization, composition, and functions of the committee?   |      |   |   |  |  |
| 1c.       | Specify the frequency of the committee meetings?  |      |   | <ul><li>GUIDANCE:</li><li>Are URC meetings held at the frequency specified?</li></ul>   |  |  |
|           | CCR, title 9, chapter 11, section 1820.210  |      | <ul> <li>OUT OF COMPLIANCE:</li> <li>UR Plan does not provide a committee to perform UR.</li> <li>URC does not describe the organization, composition, and functions.</li> <li>URC meetings not held according to stated frequency.</li> <li>URC does not have two physicians.</li> </ul> |   |  |  |
| 2.<br>2a. | Does the UR plan provide that each recipient's record includes, at least, the required information:  Identification of the recipient? |      |   | GUIDANCE:     Review UR plan to determine if the required information is present.     Do the medical records include all of the required information?                               |  |  |
| 2b.       | The name of the recipient's physician?  |      |   | De the medical records morads all or the required information.  |  |  |
| 2c.       | The date of admission?  |      |   |   |  |  |
| 2d.       | The plan of care required under CFR 456.180?  |      |   |   |  |  |
| 2e.       | Initial and subsequent continued stay review dates described under CFR 456.233 and 456.234?   |      |   |   |  |  |
| 2f.       | Reasons and plan for continued stay (if the attending physician believes continued stay is necessary)?                                |      |   |   |  |  |

(Rev. 09/09/2015) Page 119 FY 2015-2016 Protocol

|           | <u>SECTION III</u> <u>OTILIZATION REVIEW - SD/IVIC HOSPITAL SERVICES</u>  |         |  |  |  |  |  |
|-----------|---|---------|--|--|--|--|--|
|           |   | FINDING |  |  |  |  |  |
|           | CRITERIA  | Υ       | N  | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS  |  |  |  |
| 2g.       | Other supporting material that the committee believes appropriate to be included in the record?   |         |  |  |  |  |  |
|           | R, title 42, sections 456.211, 456.233 and 456.234<br>CR, title 9, chapter 11, section 1820.210   | • (     | UT OF COMPLIANCE:  UR records do not include all of the required information.  The UR plan does not include all of the required review elements. |  |  |  |  |
| 3.<br>3a. | Does the UR plan provide for a review of each recipient's continued stay in the mental hospital to decide whether it is needed, and does it include the following:  Determination of need for continued stay? |         |  | <ul> <li>GUIDANCE:</li> <li>Does the UR plan include all of the required review elements?</li> <li>Is there evidence on the UR worksheets that shows the UR plan is followed in practice?</li> <li>Is the documentation of the determination of need for continued stay required?</li> </ul> |  |  |  |
| 3b.       | Evaluation criteria for continued stay?   |         |  | GUIDANCE:  • Is the evaluation criteria documented?  |  |  |  |
| 3c.       | Initial continued stay review date?   |         |  | GUIDANCE:  • Are the dates written?  |  |  |  |
| 3d.       | Subsequent continued stay review dates?   |         |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3e.       | Description of methods and criteria for continued stay review dates; length of stay modification?   |         |  | <ul> <li>GUIDANCE:</li> <li>Are the methods and criteria for documentation described?</li> <li>Do the methods include a description of how the length of stay may be modified?</li> </ul>  |  |  |  |
| 3f.       | Continued stay review process?  |         |  | GUIDANCE:  • Is the continued stay review process documented?  |  |  |  |
| 3g.       | Notification of adverse decision?   |         |  | GUIDANCE:  • Is the notification of adverse decision documented?   |  |  |  |

(Rev. 09/09/2015) Page 120 FY 2015-2016 Protocol

|   |  | FINE                                  | DING                |   |  |  |
|---|--|---------------------------------------|---------------------|---|--|--|
|   | CRITERIA   | Υ                                     | N                   | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS   |  |  |
| 3h.   | Time limits for final decision and notification of adverse decision?   |                                       |                     | <ul> <li>GUIDANCE:</li> <li>Does the hospital adhere to time limits for final decisions?</li> </ul>   |  |  |
|   | FR, title 42, section 456.231-238<br>CR, title 9, chapter 11, section 1820.210   | • 1                                   | NFP.                | COMPLIANCE: an does not include all of the required elements.   |  |  |
| 4.<br>4a.   | Is the UR Plan in compliance with each of the following:  Contains a description of the types of records that are kept by the URC? |                                       |                     | <ul> <li>GUIDANCE:</li> <li>Review IP, MHP UR Plan, URC minutes, URC records, and URC reports.</li> <li>Are all the types of records described by the UR Plan kept by the URC?</li> </ul>   |  |  |
|   |  |                                       |                     | Do the records contain all the required elements?   |  |  |
| 4b.   | Contains a description of the types and frequency of the URC reports and the arrangements for distribution to individuals?         |                                       |                     | <ul> <li>GUIDANCE:</li> <li>Are the URC reports of the types and frequency specified in the UR plan?</li> <li>Is there evidence of arrangements for distribution to individuals?</li> </ul> |  |  |
| 4c.   | Provides for the beneficiary's confidentiality in all records and reports?   |                                       |                     | GUIDANCE:  • Review records to ensure compliance with confidentiality requirements.   |  |  |
| <ul> <li>CFR, title 42, sections 456.212-213 and 456.232</li> <li>CCR, title 9, chapter 11, section 1820.210</li> </ul> |  | •   •   •   •   •   •   •   •   •   • | Incomplete records. |   |  |  |
| 5.  | Does the URC include anyone who is directly responsible for the care of the beneficiary whose care is being reviewed?              |                                       |                     | <ul> <li>GUIDANCE:</li> <li>Review UR records, URC minutes, and medical records.</li> <li>Identify care providers on URC and who is responsible for the care of the beneficiary.</li> </ul> |  |  |

(Rev. 09/09/2015) Page 121 FY 2015-2016 Protocol

| <u>UL.</u>   | FINDING  |  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
|  |  | FIN  | DING  |   |  |  |  |  |
|  | CRITERIA   | Υ  | N   | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS   |  |  |  |  |
|  | FR, title 42, section 456.206<br>CR, title 9, chapter 11, section 1820.210   | Care plane benefice  |   | COMPLIANCE:  broviders of beneficiary are present when URC reviews care provided to the ciary.  ckup replacement to URC to maintain required composition.   |  |  |  |  |
| 6.<br>6a.  | Regarding the authorization process:  If no Point of Authorization (POA) is involved in the authorization process, has the URC or its designee approved or denied the initial MHP payment authorization no later than the third working day from the day of admission? |  |   | <ul> <li>GUIDANCE:         <ul> <li>Use "Admission Summary Worksheet" and "Continued Stay Worksheet."</li> <li>Review UR records, URC minutes, UR reports, medical records, and denials.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> |  |  |  |  |
| 6b.  | If the MHP uses a POA process, has the POA approved or denied the payment authorization request within 14 calendar days of receipt of the request?   |  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| CCR, title 9, chapter 11, sections 1820.220(h) and 1820.230(b) |  | •  | <ul> <li>OUT OF COMPLIANCE:</li> <li>5. (URC) OUT OF COMPLIANCE: URC or designee approved or denied the initial payment authorization later than the third working day from the day of admission.</li> <li>6a-b. (POA) OUT OF COMPLIANCE: POA did not approve or deny the payment authorization within 14 calendar days of receipt of the request.</li> </ul> |   |  |  |  |  |
| 7.   | If a hospital's URC authorizes payment, at the time of the initial MHP authorization for payment, did the hospital's URC or its designee specify the date for the subsequent MHP payment authorization determination?  |  |   | <ul> <li>GUIDANCE:</li> <li>Use "Admission Summary Worksheet" and "Continued Stay Worksheet."</li> <li>Review UR records, URC minutes, UR reports, medical records, and denials.</li> </ul>                     |  |  |  |  |
| • C  | CR, title 9, chapter 11, section 1820.230(c)   | OUT OF COMPLIANCE:  • URC or designee did not specify the date for the subsequent MHP payment authority determination. |   |   |  |  |  |  |

(Rev. 09/09/2015) Page 122 FY 2015-2016 Protocol

| <u> </u>  | STION IVI UTILIZATION REVIEW - SD/N  |   |                 | THE CENTICES  |
|-----------|--|---|-----------------|---|
|           |  | FINE  | DING            |   |
|           | CRITERIA   | Υ   | N               | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS   |
| 8.<br>8a. | Did the URC or its designee, or POA authorize payment for administrative day services only when both of the following criteria (8a. and 8b.) have been met:  During the hospital stay, the beneficiary previously met medical necessity criteria for acute psychiatric inpatient hospital services?                          |   |                 | <ul> <li>GUIDANCE:</li> <li>Use "Admission Summary Worksheet" and "Continued Stay Worksheet."</li> <li>Review UR records, POA records, URC minutes, UR reports, medical records, denials, and list of all non-acute placement facilities utilized by the facility.</li> </ul>   |
| 8b.       | There is no appropriate, non-acute treatment facility available and the facility has documented its minimum number of appropriate contacts:  1) The status of the placement option?  2) Date of the contact?  3) Signature of the person making the contact?   |   |                 | <ul> <li>GUIDANCE:</li> <li>If less than five contacts were made per week, look for written justification.</li> <li>The MHP can waive the requirements of five contacts per week if there are fewer than five appropriate, non-acute residential treatment facilities available as placement options for the beneficiary. In no case must there be less than one contact per week.</li> </ul> |
|           | CR, title 9, chapter 11, sections 1820.230(d)(2)(A and,(B) and 320.220(j)(5)(A and,(B)   | <ul><li>URC or had not</li><li>There is</li></ul> |                 | compliance:  or designee authorized payment for administrative day services for a beneficiary that of previously met medical necessity criteria as required.  is no appropriate, non-acute treatment facility available and the facility has not mented its minimum number of appropriate contacts.   |
| 9.        | Are persons employed or under contract to provide mental health services as physicians, psychologists, social workers, marriage and family therapists or professional clinical counselors (pending Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) approval) licensed, waivered, or registered with their licensing boards? |   |                 | <ul> <li>GUIDANCE:</li> <li>Review licenses, waivers, and registrations.</li> </ul>   |
| • W       | IC, section 5751.2   | • I   | MHP e<br>mental | compliance: employs or contracts with non-licensed/waivered/registered personnel to provide I health services as physicians, psychologists, social workers, or marriage and therapists.   |

(Rev. 09/09/2015) Page 123 FY 2015-2016 Protocol

SECTION M UTILIZATION REVIEW – SD/MC HOSPITAL SERVICES

| <u> </u>    | OTILIZATION REVIEW - SD/N  | TIAL GERVIOLO |                                   |   |
|-------------|--|---------------|-----------------------------------|---|
|             |  | FIND          |                                   |   |
|             | CRITERIA   | Υ             | N                                 | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS   |
| 10.<br>10a. | Regarding Medical Care Evaluations (MCEs) or equivalent studies, does the UR plan contain the following:  A description of the methods that the URC uses to select and conduct MCE or equivalent studies?                          |               |                                   | <ul> <li>GUIDANCE:</li> <li>Review UR Plan.</li> <li>Identify description of methods used to select and conduct MCE or equivalent studies.</li> <li>What does the MHP identify as the MCE equivalent?</li> </ul>  |
| 10b.        | Documentation of the results of the MCE or equivalent studies that show how the results have been used to make changes to improve the quality of care and promote the more effective and efficient use of facilities and services? |               |                                   | <ul> <li>GUIDANCE:</li> <li>Review current and past MCE or equivalent studies for two years and published results; URC minutes related to MCE study findings; analysis of MCE or equivalent studies; documentation of improved quality care; changes in use of facilities and services; documented actions taken to correct or investigate deficiencies or problems in the review process; and recommendations for hospital care procedures.</li> </ul> |
| 10c.        | Documentation that the MCE or equivalent studies have been analyzed?   |               |                                   |   |
| 10d.        | Documentation that actions have been taken to correct or investigate any deficiencies or problems in the review process and recommends more effective and efficient hospital care procedures?                                      |               |                                   |   |
|             | FR, title 42, section 456.241 and 456.242<br>FR, title 9, chapter 11, section 1820.210   | • N • F • U   | NFP<br>Plan de<br>JRC n<br>Lack o | compliance:  oes not contain description of URC methods.  oot using methods.  f documentation as required that MCE or equivalent findings are analyzed and how or improved changes and to correct deficiencies or problems.   |
| 11.<br>11a. | Regarding MCE or equivalent studies:  Do the contents of the MCE or equivalent studies meet federal requirements?  |               |                                   | GUIDANCE:     Review current and past MCE or equivalent studies for two years.  |

(Rev. 09/09/2015) Page 124 FY 2015-2016 Protocol

|   |   | FINI   | DING  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|-------|--|--|--|
|   | CRITERIA  | Υ  | N     | INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS  |  |  |
| 11b.  | Has at least one MCE or equivalent study been completed each calendar year?   |  |       |  |  |  |
| 11c.  | Is an MCE or equivalent study in progress at all times?   |  |       |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>CFR, title 42, sections 456.242, 456.243, 456.244 and 456.245</li> <li>CCR, title 9, chapter 11, section 1820.210</li> </ul> |   | OUT OF COMPLIANCE:  • MCE or equivalent studies do not meet federal regulations. |       |  |  |  |
| 12.   | Does the SD/MC hospital have a beneficiary documentation and medical record system that meets the requirements of the contract between the MHP and the department and any applicable requirements of State, federal law and regulation? |  |       |  |  |  |
| • CC  | CR, title 9, chapter 11, section 1810.440(c)  | •  | Docum | COMPLIANCE: nentation and medical record system does not meet the requirements of the ct and any applicable requirements of State, federal law and regulation. |  |  |